

REFACTORING ENGLISH

Effective Writing for Software Developers

MICHAEL LYNCH

Refactoring English

Michael Lynch

Version v0.7.2, 2025-11-13: Pre-release

Table of Contents

Preface: Why Improve Your Writing? (<i>pending</i>)	1
1. Get to the Point	2
Grab the reader's attention with a hook	2
When the hook matters	4
How long until the reader gets bored?	5
How do you fit a hook into a few sentences?	6
A process for finding a compelling hook	6
Example: Writing a real hook, step-by-step	9
2. Make Your Writing Sound Natural	15
3. Rules for Writing Software Tutorials	17
Write for beginners	17
Promise a clear outcome in the title	18
Explain the goal in the introduction	19
Show the end result	20
Make code snippets copy/pasteable	21
Use long versions of command-line flags	24
Separate user-defined values from reusable logic	25
Use unambiguous example values	29
Spare the reader from mindless tasks	31
Keep your code in a working state	32
Teach one thing	34
Don't try to look pretty	35
Minimize dependencies	36
Specify filenames clearly	37
Use consistent, descriptive headings	38
Demonstrate that your solution works	40
Link to a complete example	41
4. Write Blog Posts that Developers Read	42
You're qualified to write a blog post	43
Choosing topics	47
Think one degree bigger	52
Grab the reader's attention	54
Tell it like a story	55
Plan a path to your readers	59
Show more pictures	63

Accomodate skimmers	64
5. Find Customers through Blogging	66
Blogging to attract customers vs. “content marketing.”	66
Boasting doesn’t attract customers	66
Finding customers through quality writing	67
Tactfully include your product	69
Real companies who find customers through blogging	70
6. Write Effective Design Documents (<i>pending</i>)	73
Writing a design document	73
Reviewing a design document	76
7. Write Useful Commit Messages	86
An example of a useful commit message	86
What’s the point of a commit message?	87
Organizing information in a commit message	88
What should the commit message include?	89
What should the commit description leave out?	100
8. Write Emails with Less Noise and Better Results	102
What’s an effective email?	102
Deliver the most important information first	102
Write descriptive subject lines	105
Use the Markdown Here browser extension	106
Write complete replies rather than quick ones	107
Split threads and curate recipients	110
Create structure with headings and paragraph breaks	111
Edit ruthlessly to eliminate noise	113
Make action items explicit	113
Explain requests in terms of the recipients’ interests	114
Recognize when an email should become a meeting	115
9. Write Compelling Software Release Announcements	117
Release notes are not release announcements	119
What to feature in a release announcement	119
Call it “faster” not “less slow”	120
Briefly introduce your product	120
Make the most of your screenshots	121
Keep animated demos short and sweet	122
Turn your numbers into graphs	123
Plan your release announcement during development	124
No more “various improvements and bugfixes”	125
Real-world examples of compelling release announcements	125
10. Fine-Tune Your Writing (<i>pending</i>)	127
Verbs drive the sentence	127
Stay positive: how negative phrasing reduces readability	129
Passive voice considered harmful	131

Minimize cognitive load for the reader <i>(pending)</i>	135
Brevity is performance optimization for writing	135
Eliminate ambiguity and confusion <i>(pending)</i>	140
11. Maintain Motivation <i>(pending)</i>	141
Manage writer's block <i>(pending)</i>	141
Use a structured process to stay in flow state <i>(pending)</i>	141
Editing: valuable because it's hard <i>(pending)</i>	141
12. Resources to Improve Your Writing <i>(pending)</i>	142
Work with a professional editor <i>(pending)</i>	142
Work with a professional illustrator <i>(pending)</i>	142
Improve your grammar incrementally <i>(pending)</i>	142
Using AI tools <i>(pending)</i>	142
Acknowledgments	143

Preface: Why Improve Your Writing? (*pending*)


Coming soon

Chapter 1. Get to the Point

The most common writing pitfall among developers is meandering.

Instead of leading with what's interesting or valuable, developers ramble on about whatever happens to be on their mind. By the time they get to their interesting idea, the reader has given up.

When you have something to say, get to the point. Make it obvious why the reader should care. It's the most effective way to improve your writing.



Grab the reader's attention with a hook

When a person begins reading any piece of writing, they ask themselves two questions:

1. Is this relevant to me?
2. Is this worth my time?

These questions are the same whether it's an email, a blog post, a design spec, or a release announcement.

If the reader loses patience before you answer their questions, they'll give up. There are billions of things they can read instead, so there's no reason to waste time on something that seems irrelevant or useless.

To keep the reader with you, optimize the first few sentences of anything you write to showcase something relevant and valuable. Writers call this “the hook.” It grabs the reader's attention and makes them curious to continue reading.

Example: A concise hook

I recently wrote [an article](#) about improving tests in the Go programming language. Here's my hook:

if got, want: A Simple Way to Write Better Go Tests

There's an excellent Go testing pattern that too few people know. I can teach it to you in 30 seconds.

The introduction makes it clear that the article is relevant to programmers who use the Go programming language, and the value is that there's a useful technique they can learn quickly.

Example: My worst hook

Compare my Go introduction to my worst introduction, which [I wrote](#) when I first began blogging:

Testing Ansible Web App Roles with Selenium

Ansible is an excellent tool for deploying web apps. Ansible allows us to define web apps in terms of the different “roles” that compose our web app (e.g. web server, database server, application server). As our roles and the interactions between them become more complex, we need appropriately stronger ways of testing our roles to verify we're deploying our web app correctly.

Based on this introduction, is this article relevant or useful to you? To anyone? Probably not.

This introduction is three times longer than my Go introduction, so I'm asking the reader to work harder to find the value. And even if they suffer through the whole paragraph, I still don't explain who should read this or why.

Unsurprisingly, my Go article reached thousands of readers in its first week, whereas my Ansible article barely reached a hundred.


When the hook matters

The hook's importance depends on two variables:

1. How well the reader knows you
2. How many alternatives are available to your writing


How well the reader knows you

The less the reader knows you, the harder it is to convince them to read your writing. If you email a stranger, they have no reason to read your message unless you win them over in the first few lines.



How many alternatives are available

If the reader can close your document and find similar alternatives, you need a strong hook to convince them to stick around. For example, if you write a tutorial showing how to print "Hello, world!" in C, you need an outstanding hook because there are already thousands of tutorials about the same thing.



When you write a design document and share it within your company, there usually aren't competing designs for the same project. The alternative in that scenario is that your co-workers ignore your document or read it too superficially to offer useful feedback.

A good hook can be critical, but it doesn't always matter. If you email your mom a story about visiting the playground with your kids, don't agonize over the perfect opening line. She already has a relationship with you, and she's not scrolling through hundreds of cute stories about her grandchildren, so you don't have to fight so hard for her attention.

How long until the reader gets bored?

The reader's patience varies substantially depending on what they're reading and why, but it's helpful to keep rough "time limits" in mind. From my subjective experience, I estimate the time limits for different documents as follows:

Type of writing	Time until the reader gets bored
Email to a stranger or to hundreds of co-workers	Subject line + 3-4 sentences
Email to your immediate teammates	Subject line + 2-3 paragraphs
Blog post or tutorial	Title + 3-4 sentences
Design document	Title + first page
Release announcement	2-3 headlines + 3-4 sentences

How do you fit a hook into a few sentences?

If winning the reader’s attention in just a few sentences sounds hard, it’s because it is.

Good writing requires you to refine your thoughts, and writing a good hook takes even more diligence.

Even for experienced writers, finding a hook is a challenge. When I write a blog post, I typically rewrite the introduction at least five times before I publish. Sometimes, it takes me over 20 rewrites to find a hook I like.

“But I can’t possibly summarize everything I want to say in just three sentences!”

You don’t have to blurt out your entire conclusion in your first few lines—you just have to convince the reader that you’ll deliver something worth reading.

A process for finding a compelling hook

Over time, I’ve developed a process for writing compelling introductions. My process is not **the** process. You may find that different steps work for you, so I encourage you to try out my process and adopt whatever works for you.

In the section after, I’ll show you how I write a real introduction from start to finish using this process.

Step 1: Think about the reader

Recall that the reader has these two questions:

1. Is this relevant to me?
2. Is this worth my time?

In order to answer those questions for the reader, you have to answer two questions for yourself:

1. Who is my target audience?
2. What does my writing offer them?

For example, if you’re writing an article about the Vue web framework, decide whether you’re writing for developers with Vue experience, developers who are new to Vue, or people who are completely new to programming.

Thinking of the reader sounds obvious, but many developers forget to do it. They treat writing as a one-way process that ends when they've successfully dumped all their thoughts onto the page.

Step 2: Write a bad first draft of your introduction

I used to get so hung up on my introduction that I'd spend hours just staring at a blank page trying to think of the perfect hook. Don't do that.

Start by writing an intentionally bad introduction. Write whatever's on your mind as if you were talking to a friend.

The goal in the first draft is to explore what's interesting about your ideas and how they're valuable to the reader. Don't worry about length. Rant and rave all you want. You'll trim out the fluff later.

Step 3: Iterate until you find a hook

Imagine that you're a member of your own target audience. Now, re-read your introduction in that mindset. Does it answer your two questions?

1. Is this relevant to me?
2. Is this worth my time?

If the answer to both questions is "yes," then great! It's time to hone your hook. Otherwise, take another pass and focus on presenting the reader with something interesting and relevant.

Keep rewriting or adding to your introduction until you can put yourself in the reader's shoes and say "yes" to both questions.

The writing can still be sloppy and rambling at this stage as long as it answers the reader's two questions.

Step 4: Honing your hook

Now that you've written an introduction that captures the reader's attention, can you do it faster? Can you make the value more clear?

Re-read your introduction, and identify the parts that are essential to your hook. What are the key ideas that will resonate with the reader and show them you have something useful to share?

Once you've identified the essential elements of your introduction, eliminate everything else

until you've reduced your hook to a few sentences.

I know. Easier said than done.

Honing the hook is incredibly difficult. Often, you'll identify that X, Y, and Z are the essential elements of your hook, but X requires a sentence or two of explanation. And then it doesn't logically connect to Y, so you need a transition. By the time you get to Z, you've already exhausted the reader's patience.

When I get bogged down with explanations or transitions, I rewrite my introduction from scratch. By starting over, I often find simpler ways of expressing my essential points that don't require explanations or transitions.

Step 5: Fine-tuning your hook

By this point, you've nailed down the structure of your introduction, so it's time to make it as efficient as possible.

At this stage, you're trying to maximize signal-to-noise ratio. The reader should feel like you're delivering a fantastic return on investment for every word they read.

Re-read your introduction and look for opportunities to make it shorter:

- Simplify complex sentences.
- Cut extraneous words.
- Replace long words with short words.

See the chapter, [“Brevity is performance optimization for writing”](#) for more techniques to trim fluff from your writing.

My tricks for surviving the hook-writing process

Finding a hook sucks. For me, it's the most miserable and challenging part of writing.

To make the process more tolerable, I chip away at my hook over several days, so I don't have to grind away for hours in a single writing session.

The first day, all I do is spend a few minutes writing the bad first draft. If I'm in the mood, I'll keep iterating on it, but I move on to other parts of the document as soon as I get bored.

Every day that I work on the piece, I spend a few minutes rewriting my introduction. As I make progress on the non-intro sections, I get a better understanding of what I'm communicating to the reader, which helps me improve my introduction.

I typically write a new introduction from scratch every day I work on the piece. Then, I look back at my previous attempts and fold in anything I liked better from old drafts.

Sometimes, I leave several introductions in the document until just before I publish, so I can stitch together what I like from different drafts.

Example: Writing a real hook, step-by-step

As an exercise, I'm going to write an introduction to a real blog post I'm working on. I'll go from a rough draft to a polished hook, sharing my thought process along the way.

The concept

The blog post is about how I've changed my mind about certain software engineering principles over my career. For example, I used to be religious about unit tests and look down my nose at bash scripts, but I've become more flexible about unit testing and more appreciative of bash. There are several topics like that where I've flip-flopped, and I think the shift in thinking will be interesting to other developers.

Step 1: Understand my reader

I begin by answering my questions:

1. Who is my target audience?
 - **Software developers who care about engineering practices**

2. What does my writing offer them?
 - **Gives them a more nuanced perspective on popular software engineering practices**

Okay, that was easy enough.

Step 2: Write my bad first draft

Here's my unedited first attempt at an introduction to this blog post:

My Beliefs about Software Engineering that Have Changed over the Years

I've been a professional software developer for about 20 years now. I've always had strong opinions about software engineering practices, but some of those opinions have changed over the years. In this article, I'll share the most significant beliefs I've changed about software, and what changed my mind.

Okay, don't judge me. That was just a first draft!

It has lots of issues, but that's okay. The point of the first draft is just to get some ideas on the page.

Step 3: Iterate until I find my hook

Next, I imagine that I'm a developer who doesn't know me but cares about engineering practices. I re-read my introduction and try to answer my two key questions:

1. Is this relevant to me?
 - **Yes, I also have strong opinions about software engineering practices.**
2. Is this worth my time?
 - **Maybe. I don't know why I should care about this guy's opinions. Maybe he's a terrible developer who has moronic takes.**

So, how can I gain credibility with the reader and convince them that my opinions are worth hearing?

My views about software came from working at well-known companies like Microsoft and Google. Many developers respect those companies for their strong engineering culture, so that gives me credibility. It's also relevant that I've worked for small companies and created solo projects, as I've thought about these principles in different environments.

The "20 years" part also feels valuable. I've been thinking hard about these ideas for 20 years, and I'm sharing the result of that thinking, so I should work "20 years" into the title.

Here's a second draft that focuses on making the value clearer:

How My Approach to Software Development Has Changed in 20 Years

I've been a software developer for 20 years, and I've always felt strongly about the craft of programming. I've worked for huge companies like Microsoft and Google as well as indie companies with a handful of developers. Most of my foundational thinking about software has stayed the same throughout my career, but experience has radically changed a few of my key views.

Let me wear my "reader hat" again and ask myself the two questions:

1. Is this relevant to me?
 - **Yes, it's still about software engineering practices, which I care about.**
2. Is this worth my time?
 - **Yes, the author has had interesting work experience at companies I respect, so he has the potential to have interesting ideas.**

Step 4: Honing my hook

Re-reading my last draft, what are the essential elements that make up my hook? The key points the reader will find compelling are:

- I've been writing software for 20 years.
- I've worked for companies with a good reputation for engineering practices.
- I care a lot about software engineering practices.
- I've changed my mind about some practices for thoughtful reasons.

Now that I've identified the essential elements of my hook, I want to hit those points as concisely as possible.

How My Ideas about Software Development Have Changed in 20 Years

I've been a software developer for 20 years, including time at megacorps like Microsoft and Google as well as tiny indie businesses with only a handful of developers. I've always cared deeply about the craft of software development, and most of those beliefs have remained through the course of my career, but some of them have changed.

I'm hitting the essential elements of my hook, but the sentences feel disjointed. I don't feel a logical flow from one sentence to the next.

Let me try again, focusing on a smoother flow between sentences:

How My Approach to Software Development Has Changed in 20 Years

I've worked as a software developer for 20 years, and I've always cared deeply about the craft of programming. I've been an employee at megacorps like Microsoft and Google, the founder of an indie tech company with only a handful of developers, and a contributor to hundreds of open-source projects. Most of my foundational thinking about software has remained the same throughout my career, but I've changed my mind about a few key ideas.

That flow felt better, and I'm still hitting the essential elements of my hook. It's too wordy, but I feel satisfied with the structure, so I'll move on to fine-tuning.

Step 5: Fine-tuning my hook

At this point, I go through each sentence with a fine-tooth comb to eliminate any fluff. I'm scanning for words or phrases that I can delete or replace with simpler, more concise alternatives.

I start with the first sentence:

I've worked as a software developer for 20 years, and I've always cared deeply about the craft of programming.

I actually don't see any fat to trim here. I'm tempted to change "software developer" to "programmer," but that makes my subsequent use of "programming" feel repetitive.

Next sentence:

I've been an employee at megacorps like Microsoft and Google, the founder of an indie tech company with only a handful of developers, and a contributor to hundreds of open-source projects.

Here, there's more to cut away:

- I don't need to mention my open-source work.
 - It's sufficient to say that I've worked at big companies and small companies.
 - By cutting out my open-source work, I free myself from the sentence's structure of "I've been an A at X, I've been a B at Y, ..."
- "I've been an employee at" → "I've worked at"
- "been the founder of an indie tech company" → "managed an indie tech company"
 - The fact that I'm the founder is less important than the fact that I worked someplace with a small dev team.
- "managed an indie tech company" → "an indie tech company"

- Mentioning that I managed a dev team gives me credibility, but what I gain from mentioning it feels too small to justify the extra wordiness here, so I cut this further to “worked at Microsoft and Google as well as an indie tech company”
- “indie tech company” → “indie company”
 - The reader can infer from context that it’s a tech company, but it also doesn’t matter.

Onward to the last sentence!

Most of my foundational thinking about software has remained the same throughout my career, but I’ve changed my mind about a few key ideas.

This sentence feels the hairiest, so what can I do to shave it down?

- “foundational thinking about software” → “views about software”
 - I’m tempted to cut “about software” too, because the reader can assume I’m talking about software. I’m leaving it in because otherwise the reader might think I mean general world views.
- “remained the same throughout my career” → “stayed the same”
 - I also considered “remained constant,” which is fewer words, but I prefer the simplicity of “stayed the same.”
- “about a few key ideas” → “in a few important areas”
 - This is slightly longer but a more accurate representation of what the article is about. For example, my opinion about bash isn’t a “key idea” about software engineering—just a belief I have about a popular tool.

Okay, how does it look after all the edits?

How My Approach to Software Development Has Changed in 20 Years

I’ve worked as a software developer for 20 years, and I’ve always cared deeply about the craft of programming. I’ve ~~been an employee~~ worked at megacorps like Microsoft and Google ~~, the founder of~~ as well as an indie ~~tech~~ company with only a handful of developers ~~, and a contributor to hundreds of open source projects~~. Most of my ~~foundational thinking~~ views about software ~~has~~ ~~have~~ remained the same throughout my ~~career~~ stayed the same, but I’ve changed my mind ~~about a few key ideas~~ in a few important areas.

Here’s a cleaner version without all the edit markers:

How My Approach to Software Development Has Changed in 20 Years

I’ve worked as a software developer for 20 years, and I’ve always cared deeply about the craft of programming. I’ve worked at megacorps like Microsoft and Google as well as an

indie company with only a handful of developers. Most of my views about software have stayed the same, but I've changed my mind in a few important areas.

I still don't think my intro is perfect, but I've gotten tired of rewriting it. Honestly, that's typically how I decide when I'm ready to publish. I know I can improve it if I invest more time, but I can do that forever. At some point, I have to decide it's good enough.

I think this final result is pretty good. If I adopt the mindset of my target reader, the introduction grabs my attention and makes me curious about where the author changed their mind and why.

I like that I was able to work in terms like "megacorps" and "indie company," as they subtly reveal my personality and attitude about software. "Megacorps" sounds big and soulless, whereas "indie" sounds small and playful. I could have replaced "megacorps" with "large corporations," but that's bland and devoid of personality.

Summary

- If your introduction doesn't give the reader a compelling reason to keep reading, they'll give up.
- When the reader begins reading anything, they ask themselves two questions:
 1. Is this relevant to me?
 2. Is this worth my time?
- Optimize your introduction to help the reader say "yes" to their two critical questions as quickly as possible.
- The "hook" is the part of the introduction that grabs the reader's attention and makes them want to read more.
- The hook matters most when the reader doesn't know you and has many alternatives available to your writing.
- To write a compelling hook:
 1. Think about who the target reader is and what your writing offers them.
 2. Write a bad first draft of your introduction.
 3. Iterate on your introduction until you find the hook.
 4. Hone your introduction by removing everything that isn't your hook.
 5. Fine-tune your introduction by deleting and simplifying unnecessary words.

Chapter 2. Make Your Writing Sound Natural

"Read your writing aloud."

For most of my life, I heard that advice and ignored it. It was the same reaction I had when personal finance experts recommended making a formal budget for my monthly expenses. "I can predict what that would feel like, and I don't think it's valuable, so I don't have to try it."

When I finally tried reading my writing aloud, the value was immediately obvious. I caught awkward phrasing and careless errors that I'd overlooked when reading the same thing in my head.

Before I publish anything, I copy it to my e-reader, leave my office, and read my writing in a different environment. As I read, I scribble notes on the pages to track my editing ideas.

Try it at least once for 10 minutes.

As you read, pay attention to your intuition. If you find yourself tripping over your words or saying something that feels awkward, your reader will probably experience the same thing.




Figure 1. Example of notes I made while reading this chapter aloud.

Red flags to look out for when reading your writing aloud

- Do any sentences cause you to stumble over your words?
- Do you find yourself wanting to say something different from what you wrote?
- Do certain sections make you feel bored?


Chapter 3. Rules for Writing Software Tutorials

Most software tutorials are tragically flawed. They omit some key detail and prevent anyone from replicating the author's process, or they have hidden assumptions that don't match the reader's expectations.

The good news is that it's easier than you think to write an exceptional software tutorial. You can stand out in a sea of mediocre guides by following a few simple rules.

Write for beginners

The most common mistake tutorials make is explaining beginner-level concepts using expert-level terminology.



Most people who seek out tutorials are beginners. They may not be beginners to programming, but they're beginners to the domain they're trying to learn about.

BAD: *Refer to concepts that beginners won't understand.*

In this tutorial, I'll show you how to create your first "Hello world" SPA using React.

Open the included `hello.jsx` file and change the greeting from "Hello world" to

"Hello universe".

The browser should hot reload with the new text. Because of React's efficient JSX transpilation, the change feels instant.

The browser doesn't even have to soft reload the page because React's reconciliation engine compares the virtual DOM to the rendered DOM and updates only the DOM elements that require changes.

The above example would confuse and alienate beginners.

A developer who's new to the React web framework won't understand terms like "JSX transpilation" or "reconciliation engine." They probably also won't understand "SPA," "soft reload," or "virtual DOM" unless they've worked with other JavaScript frameworks.

When you're writing a tutorial, remember that you're explaining things to a non-expert. Avoid jargon, abbreviations, or terms that would be meaningless to a newcomer.

Here's an introduction to a React tutorial that uses language most readers will understand, even if they have no background in programming:

GOOD: *Use terms that make sense to beginners.*

In this tutorial, I'll show you how to create a simple webpage using modern web development tools.

To generate the website, I'm using React, a free and popular tool for building websites.

React is a great tool for creating your first website, but it's also full-featured and powerful enough to build sophisticated apps that serve millions of users.

Writing for beginners doesn't mean alienating everyone with more experience. A knowledgeable reader can scan your tutorial and skip the information they already know, but a beginner can't read a guide for experts.

Promise a clear outcome in the title

If a prospective reader is Googling a problem, would the title of your article lead them to the solution? If they see your tutorial on social media or in a newsletter, will your title convince them it's worth clicking?

Consider the following weak titles:

BAD: *Use vague titles.*

- A Complete Guide to Becoming a Python CSV Ninja
- How to Build Your Own Twitter
- Key Mime Pi: A Cool Gadget You Can Make
- How to Make a Compiler

The above examples are poor titles because they're vague. From the titles alone, you'd be hard-pressed to say what they'd teach you.

A tutorial's title should explain succinctly what the reader can expect to achieve by following your guide.

Here are clearer rewrites of the previous titles:

GOOD: *Use titles that promise a clear outcome.*

- How to Read a CSV File in Python
- Build a Real-Time Twitter Clone in 15 Minutes with Phoenix LiveView
- Key Mime Pi: Turn Your Raspberry Pi into a Remote Keyboard
- How to Write a C Compiler in 500 Lines of Python

These titles give you a clear sense of what you'd learn by reading the tutorial. The titles are clear and specific in what the tutorial delivers.

Explain the goal in the introduction

If the reader clicks your tutorial, you're off to a great start. Someone is interested in what you have to say. But you still have to convince them to continue reading.

As the reader begins a tutorial, they're trying to answer two critical questions as quickly as possible:

1. Should I care about this technology?
2. If I care, is this the right tutorial for me?

The first few sentences of your article should answer those questions.

For example, if you were writing a tutorial about how to use Docker containers, this would be a terrible introduction:

An Introduction to Docker Containers

Docker is an extremely powerful and versatile technology. It allows you to run your app in a container, which means that it's separate from everything else on the system.

In this tutorial, I'll show you how to use Docker to run containers on your internal infrastructure as well as in the cloud.

Based on the above introduction, what problem does Docker solve? Who should use it?

The introduction fails to answer those questions and instead hand-waves with vague terms that ignore anything the reader cares about.

Here's a rewrite that explains how Docker solves pain points the reader might have:

GOOD: *Explain a concrete outcome and benefit.*

How to Use Docker for Reliable App Deployments

Do you have a production server that you're terrified to touch because nobody knows how to rebuild it if it goes offline? Have you ever torn your hair out trying to figure out why your staging environment behaves differently than your production environment?

Docker is a tool for packaging your app so that it has a consistent, reproducible environment wherever it runs. Docker allows you to define your app's environment and dependencies in source code, so you know exactly what's there, even if your app has survived years of tweaks by different teams.

In this tutorial, I'll show you how to use Docker to package a simple web app and help you avoid common Docker gotchas.

The above introduction explains the problems Docker solves and what the tutorial will deliver.

The introduction doesn't say, "This tutorial is for people who are brand new to Docker," but it doesn't need to. It introduces Docker as a new concept, which tells the reader that the guide is for newcomers.

Show the end result

As soon as possible, show a working demo or screenshot of what the reader will create by the end of your tutorial.

The end result doesn't have to be anything visually stunning. Here's an example of how I

showed the terminal UI the user would see at the end of my tutorial:




Figure 2. Early in your tutorial, show the reader a preview of what they'll produce by the end.

Showing the final product reduces ambiguity about your goal. It helps the reader understand if it's the right guide for them.

Make code snippets copy/pasteable

As the reader follows your tutorial, they'll want to copy/paste your code snippets into their editor or terminal.

An astonishing number of tutorials unwittingly break copy/paste functionality, making it difficult for the reader to follow along with their examples.

Make shell commands copyable

One of the most common mistakes authors make in code snippets is including the shell prompt character.

A shell snippet with leading `$` characters will break when the user tries to paste it into their terminal.

```
$ sudo apt update          # <<< Don't do this!
$ sudo apt install vim     # <<< Users can't copy/paste this sequence without
$ vim hello.txt            # << picking up the $ character and breaking the command.
```

Even Google gets this wrong. In some places, their documentation helpfully offers a “Copy code sample” button.




Figure 3. Google offers a “Copy code sample” button that incorrectly copies shell terminal characters.

If you click the copy button, it copies the `$` terminal prompt character, so you can’t paste the code:

```
michael@ubuntu: $ gcloud services enable pubsub.googleapis.com
$ gcloud services disable pubsub.googleapis.com
bash: $: command not found
bash: $: command not found
michael@ubuntu:
```

There’s a different version of this copy/paste error that’s more subtle:

BAD: *Present a sequence of commands that require user input.*

```
sudo apt update
sudo apt install software-properties-common
sudo add-apt-repository ppa:deadsnakes/ppa
sudo apt install python3.9
```

If I try to paste the above snippet, here’s what I see in the terminal:

```
0 upgraded, 89 newly installed, 0 to remove and 2 not upgraded.
Need to get 36.1 MB of archives.
After this operation, 150 MB of additional disk space will be used.
Do you want to continue? [Y/n] Abort.
$
```

What happened?

When the `apt install software-properties-common` command executes, it prompts the user for input. The user can’t answer the prompt because `apt` just continues reading from the clipboard paste.

Most command-line tools offer flags or environment variables to avoid forcing the user to respond interactively. Use non-interactive flags to make command snippets easy for the user to paste into their terminal.

GOOD: *Use command-line flags that avoid interactive user input.*

```
sudo apt update
sudo apt install --yes software-properties-common
sudo add-apt-repository --yes ppa:deadsnakes/ppa
sudo apt install --yes python3.9
```

Join shell commands with **&&**

Take another look at the Python installation example I showed above, as it has a second problem:

BAD: *Ignore failing commands.*

```
sudo apt update
sudo apt install software-properties-common
sudo add-apt-repository ppa:deadsnakes/ppa
sudo apt install python3.9
```

If one of the commands fails, the user might not notice. For example, if the first command was **sudo apt cache ppa:dummy:non-existent**, that command would fail, but the shell would happily execute the next command as if everything was fine.

In most Linux shells, you can join commands with **&&** and continue lines with a backslash. That tells the shell to stop when any command fails.

Here's the user-friendly way to include a series of copy-pasteable commands:

GOOD: *Chain commands together with **&&**.*

```
sudo apt update && \
sudo apt install --yes software-properties-common && \
sudo add-apt-repository --yes ppa:deadsnakes/ppa && \
sudo apt install --yes python3.9
```

The user can copy/paste the entire sequence without having to tinker with it in an intermediate step. If any of the commands fail, the sequence stops immediately.

Only show the shell prompt to demonstrate output

Occasionally, showing the shell prompt character benefits the reader.

If you show a command and its expected output, the shell prompt character helps the reader distinguish between what they type and what the command returns.

For example, a tutorial about the **jq** utility might present results like this:

GOOD: *Use the shell prompt character to distinguish between a command and its output.*

The **jq** utility allows you to restructure JSON data elegantly:

```
$ curl \
  --silent \
  --show-error \
  https://status.supabase.com/api/v2/summary.json | \
  jq '.components[] | {name, status}'
{
  "name": "Analytics",
  "status": "operational"
}
{
  "name": "API Gateway",
  "status": "operational"
}
...
```

Exclude line numbers from copyable text

It's fine to include line numbers alongside your code snippets, but make sure they don't break copy/paste. For example, if the user tries to copy the **count_tables** function from the following snippet, they'd have to remove line numbers from their pasted text.

BAD: *Include line numbers in copyable text.*

```
123 def count_tables(form):
124     if not form:
125         return None
```

Use long versions of command-line flags

Command-line utilities often have two versions of the same flag: a short version and a long version.

```
-r / --recursive : Run recursively
^      ^
|      |
|      | long flag
|
| short flag
```

Always use long flags in tutorials. They're more descriptive, so they make your code easier to read, especially for beginners.

BAD: *Use short, opaque versions of command-line flags.*

Run the following command to find all the pages with `<span>` elements:

```
grep -i -o -m 2 -r '<span.*</span>' ./
```

Even if the reader is familiar with the `grep` tool, they probably haven't memorized all of its flags.

Use long flags to make your examples clear to both experienced and inexperienced readers.

GOOD: *Use verbose, descriptive versions of command-line flags.*

Run the following command to find all the pages with `<span>` elements:

```
grep \
  --ignore-case \
  --only-matching \
  --max-count=2 \
  --recursive \
  '<span.*</span>' \
  ./
```

Separate user-defined values from reusable logic

Often, a code example contains elements that are inherent to the solution and elements that each reader can customize for themselves. Make it clear to the reader which is which.

The distinction between a user-defined value and the rest of the code might seem obvious to you, but it's unclear to someone new to the technology.

Use environment variables in command-line examples

A logging service that I use lists the following example code for retrieving my logs:

BAD: *Bake user-defined variables into the code.*

```
LOGS_ROUTE="$(  
  curl \  
    --silent \  
    --header "X-Example-Token: YOUR-API-TOKEN" \  
    http://api.example.com/routes \  
    | grep "^logs " \  
    | awk '{print $2}'  
  )" && \  
curl \  
  --silent \  
  --header "X-Example-Token: YOUR-API-TOKEN" \  
  "http://api.example.com${LOGS_ROUTE}" \  
  | awk \  
    -F'T' \  
    '$1 >= "YYYY-MM-DD" && $1 <= "YYYY-MM-DD" {print $0}'
```

Given that example, which values am I supposed to replace?

Clearly, **YOUR-API-TOKEN** is a placeholder that I need to replace, but what about **YYYY-MM-DD**? Am I supposed to replace it with real dates like **2024-11-23**? Or is it specifying a date schema, meaning that **YYYY-MM-DD** is the literal value I'm supposed to keep?

There are several other numbers and strings in the example. Do I need to replace any of those?

Instead of forcing the reader to search through your example and guess which values to change, create a clean separation. Start with the editable values, then give them the snippet they can copy/paste verbatim.

Here's my rewrite of the example above:

GOOD: *Use environment variables for user-defined values.*

```
API_TOKEN='pk-example-key' # Replace with your API key, which  
                           # always has the prefix "pk-".  
START_DATE='2024-01-01'   # Replace with desired start date.  
END_DATE='2024-12-31'    # Replace with desired end date.  
  
LOGS_ROUTE="$(  
  curl \  
    --silent \  
    --header "X-Example-Token: $API_TOKEN" \  
    http://api.example.com/routes \  
    | grep "^logs " \  
    | awk '{print $2}'  
  )" && \  
curl \  
  --silent \  
  --header "X-Example-Token: $API_TOKEN" \  
  "http://api.example.com${LOGS_ROUTE}" \  
  | awk \  
    -F'T' \  
    '$1 >= "YYYY-MM-DD" && $1 <= "YYYY-MM-DD" {print $0}'
```

```

| awk '{print $2}' \
)" && \
curl \
--silent \
--header "X-Example-Token: $API_TOKEN" \
"http://api.example.com${LOGS_ROUTE}" \
| awk \
-F'T' \
-v start="$START_DATE" \
-v end="$END_DATE" \
'$1 >= start && $1 <= end {print $0}'

```

The new version distinguishes between values the reader must replace and code that must remain in place.

Using environment variables clarifies the intent of the user-defined values and means the user only has to enter each unique value once.

Use named constants in source code

Suppose that you were writing a tutorial that demonstrated how to crop an image so that it displays well in social sharing cards on Bluesky, Twitter, and Facebook:



Figure 4. The image in the post above has image dimensions specifically to fit social sharing cards.

Here's how you might show code for cropping an image to fit social media cards:

BAD: *Make the reader guess which numbers are changeable.*

```

func CropForSocialSharing(img image.Image) image.Image {
    targetWidth := 800
    targetHeight := int(float64(targetWidth) / 1.91)
    bounds := img.Bounds()

    x := (bounds.Max.X - targetWidth) / 2

```

```

y := (bounds.Max.Y - targetHeight) / 2

rgba := image.NewRGBA(
    image.Rect(x, y, x+targetWidth, y+targetHeight))
draw.Draw(
    rgba, rgba.Bounds(), img, image.Point{x, y}, draw.Src)
return rgba
}

```

The example shows four numbers:

- 800
- 1.91
- 2
- 2 (again)

Which numbers are the reader free to change?

In source code examples, make it obvious which values are inherently part of the solution and which are arbitrary.

Consider this rewrite that makes the intent of the numbers clearer:

GOOD: *Make unchangeable values explicit.*

```

// Use a 1.91:1 aspect ratio, which is the dominant ratio
// on popular social networking platforms.
const socialCardRatio = 1.91

func CropForSocialSharing(img image.Image) image.Image {
    // I prefer social cards with an 800px width, but you can
    // make this larger or smaller.
    targetWidth := 800

    // Choose a height that fits the target aspect ratio.
    targetHeight := int(float64(targetWidth) / socialCardRatio)

    bounds := img.Bounds()

    // Keep the center of the new image as close as possible to
    // the center of the original image.
    x := (bounds.Max.X - targetWidth) / 2
    y := (bounds.Max.Y - targetHeight) / 2

    rgba := image.NewRGBA(
        image.Rect(0, 0, targetWidth, targetHeight))
    draw.Draw(
        rgba, rgba.Bounds(), img, image.Point{x, y}, draw.Src)

    return rgba
}

```

```
}
```

In this example, the code puts the value of **1.91** in a named constant and has an accompanying comment explaining the number. That communicates to the reader that they shouldn't change the value, as it will cause the function to create images with poor proportions for social sharing cards.

On the other hand, the value of **800** is more flexible, and the comment makes it obvious to the reader that they're free to choose a different number.

Use unambiguous example values

In code examples, use variable names and values that make it obvious to the reader that they're examples. Avoid using names or values that the reader might mistake for language keywords or library APIs.

For example, I've seen multiple database library READMEs show code that looks like this:

BAD: *Use example values that look like language keywords.*

Create a SQLite database table with the following commands:

```
create table tbl(id, column);
insert into tbl(0,root);
```

The field names and values make this example extremely confusing to readers who are unfamiliar with SQLite's query syntax.

- Is the name of the table **table** or **tbl**?
- Is **id** a required field that every table must have?
- Is **column** a SQLite keyword? Or does the code literally create a column named **column**?

Consider this alternative example that chooses names and values that are unambiguous.

GOOD: *Use example values that are obviously examples.*

Create a SQLite database table with the following commands:

```
-- Create a table in the database to store pets' names
-- and favorite foods.
CREATE TABLE pets (
```

```

    pet_name TEXT NOT NULL,
    favorite_food TEXT NOT NULL
);

-- Add a pet to the table named Skippy whose favorite
-- food is Bacon Treats.
INSERT INTO pets
VALUES ('Skippy', 'Bacon Treats');
```

No reader is going to think that **'Skippy'** or **'Bacon Treats'** are SQLite keywords. **pet_name** is obvious as something we're defining in our particular table and not a feature of SQLite.

The example also takes extra steps to delineate the boundaries between language keywords, schema definition, and example data:

- It uses different casing to distinguish language keywords (**INSERT INTO**) and user-defined values (**pets**).
- It adds comments to further clarify which values are user-defined.
- It uses verbose language features to make the role of the user-defined values more obvious.

Use example values that looks like real-world data

A common anti-pattern in tutorials is choosing uncreative values for variables that effectively just describe the data type.

BAD: *Use lazy example values that echo the data type.*

```

message = string('string')
filePath = FilePath('folder/file')
username = User('user')
```

A string value of **'string'** creates ambiguity for the reader because they'll wonder whether **'string'** is meaningful within the language or if the author was simply lazy in picking a value.

Instead, choose example values that stand out conspicuously as example data:

GOOD: *Use examples values that look like real-world values.*

```

message = string('Hello, world!')
filePath = FilePath('photos/Italy/me-high-fiving-pope.jpg')
username = User('mike1234')
```

Software blogger Thorsten Ball calls this technique "[using] data that looks like data."

It's fine to theme your examples to a TV show or movie, but consider whether it will confuse readers unfamiliar with what you're referencing. Any reader can recognize "Jim Halpert" as a person's name even if they haven't seen *The Office*. But if you're a *Star Trek* fan, don't expect every reader to know that "Data" is the name of a character.

Spare the reader from mindless tasks

The reader will appreciate your tutorial if you show that you respect their time.

Don't force the reader to perform tedious interactive steps when a command-line snippet would achieve the same thing.

BAD: *Force the reader to perform unnecessarily tedious steps.*

Do the following tedious steps:

1. Run `sudo nano /etc/hostname`
2. Erase the hostname
3. Type in `awesomecopter`
4. Hit `Ctrl+o` to save the contents
5. Hit `Ctrl+x` to exit the editor

The above steps make your tutorial boring and error-prone. Who wants to waste mental cycles manually editing a text file?

Instead, show the reader a command-line snippet that achieves what they need:

GOOD: *Script the steps that are not informative or interesting.*

Paste the following simple command:

```
echo 'awesomecopter' | sudo tee /etc/hostname
```

Keep your code in a working state

Some authors design their tutorials the way you'd give instructions for an origami structure. It's a mysterious sequence of twists and folds until you get to the end, and then: wow, it's a beautiful swan!

A grand finale might be fun for origami, but it's stressful for the reader.

Give the reader confidence that they're following along correctly by keeping your example code in a working state.

BAD: *Reference code that the reader hasn't yet seen.*

Here's some example code, but don't even think about compiling it. It's missing the `parseOption` function LOL!

```
// example.c

#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

#define MAX_LINE_LENGTH 256

int main() {
    char line[MAX_LINE_LENGTH];
    char key[MAX_LINE_LENGTH];
    char value[MAX_LINE_LENGTH];

    while (fgets(line, sizeof(line), stdin)) {
        // Don't do this!
        parseOption(line, key, value); // <<< Not yet defined

        printf("Key: '%s', Value: '%s'\n", key, value);
    }

    return 0;
}
```

If the reader tries to compile the above example, they get an error:

```
$ gcc example.c -o example
example.c: In function 'main':
example.c:14:7: warning: implicit declaration of function 'parseOption'
      [-Wimplicit-function-declaration]
   14 |         parseOption(line, key, value); // <<< Not yet defined
      |         ^~~~~~
/usr/bin/ld: /tmp/ccmLGENX.o: in function ``main':
example.c:(.text+0x2e): undefined reference to ``parseOption'
collect2: error: ld returned 1 exit status
```

As early as possible, show the reader an example they can play with. Build on that foundation while keeping the code in a working state.

GOOD: *Show an example the user can build without skipping ahead.*

```
// example.c

#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
#include <string.h>

#define MAX_LINE_LENGTH 256

void parseOption(char *line, char *key, char *value) {
    // Fake the parsing part for now.
    strncpy(key, "not implemented", MAX_LINE_LENGTH - 1);
    strncpy(value, "not implemented", MAX_LINE_LENGTH - 1);
}

int main() {
    char line[MAX_LINE_LENGTH];
    char key[MAX_LINE_LENGTH];
    char value[MAX_LINE_LENGTH];

    while (fgets(line, sizeof(line), stdin)) {
        parseOption(line, key, value);
        printf("Key: '%s', Value: '%s'\n", key, value);
    }

    return 0;
}
```

Now, I test the program to see that it runs:

```
$ gcc example.c -o example && \
    printf 'volume:25\npitch:37' | \
    ./example
Key: 'not implemented', Value: 'not implemented'
Key: 'not implemented', Value: 'not implemented'
```

The code fakes parsing options for now, but the dummy code confirms that everything else is working.

Keeping your code in a working state gives the reader confidence that they're following along correctly. It frees them from the worry that they'll waste time later retracing their steps to find some minor error.

Teach one thing

A good tutorial should explain one thing and explain it well.

A common mistake is to claim a tutorial is about a particular topic, and then bury the lesson in a hodgepodge of unrelated technologies.

BAD: *Teach several unrelated ideas simultaneously.*

In this tutorial, I'll show you how to add client-side search to your Hugo blog so that readers can do instant, full-text search of all your blog posts, even on spotty mobile connections.

But that's not all!

While I'm showing full-text search, I'll simultaneously demonstrate how you can use browser local storage to store your user's search history and then use an expensive AI service to infer whether the user prefers your website's dark mode or light mode UI theme.

In the example above, the tutorial starts by promising something many readers want: full-text search of a blog.

Immediately after promising full-text search, the tutorial layers in a grab bag of unrelated ideas. Now, anyone interested in full-text search has to untangle the search concepts from everything else.

People come to a tutorial because they want to learn one new thing. Let them learn that one thing in isolation.

GOOD: *Focus your tutorial on a single new concept.*

In this tutorial, I'll show you how to add client-side search to your Hugo blog so that readers can do instant, full-text search of all your blog posts, even on spotty mobile connections.

That's the only thing I'll demonstrate in this tutorial.

If you have to stack technologies, wait until the end

Sometimes, a tutorial has to combine technologies.

For example, the PHP web programming language doesn't have a production-grade web server built-in. To demonstrate how to deploy a PHP app to the web, you'd have to choose a server like Apache, nginx, or Microsoft IIS. No matter which server technology you choose, you alienate readers who prefer a different web server.

If you have to combine concepts, defer it to the end. If you're teaching PHP, take the tutorial as far as you can go using PHP's development server. If you show how to deploy the PHP app in production using nginx, push those steps to the end so everyone who prefers a different web server can follow everything in your tutorial until the web server portion.

Don't try to look pretty

Here's an excerpt from an article I read recently. Can you guess what type of tutorial it was?

```
<div class="flex flex-row mb-4 overflow-hidden bg-white">
  <div class="flex flex-col w-full p-6 text-light-gray-500">
    <div class="flex justify-between mb-3">
      <span class="uppercase">{{ title }}</span>
    </div>
    <slot></slot>
  </div>
</div>
```

If you guessed that I was reading a tutorial about a CSS framework, you'd be wrong.

The above snippet was from a tutorial about using the `<slot>` element in the Vue web framework. So, why was half the code just CSS classes? The author added them to make their example look pretty.

Here's the same snippet as above, reduced to the code necessary to convey the concept:

GOOD: *Keep demo UIs simple and style-agnostic.*

```
<div class="card">
  <p class="card-title">{{ title }}</p>
  <slot></slot>
</div>
```

The simplified code doesn't generate a beautiful browser-friendly card, but who cares? It sets a clear foundation to explain the `<slot>` element without distracting you with unrelated technology.

Readers don't care if your toy application looks beautiful. They want a tutorial that makes new concepts obvious.

Minimize dependencies

Every tutorial has dependencies. At the very least, the reader needs an operating system, but they likely also need a particular compiler, library, or framework to follow your examples.

Every dependency pushes work onto the reader. They need to figure out how to install and configure it on their system, which reduces their chances of completing your tutorial.

Make your tutorial easy on the reader by minimizing the number of dependencies it requires.

BAD: *Surprise the reader with dependencies that are hard to install.*

We're at step 12 of this tutorial, so it's time to install a bunch of annoying packages I didn't mention earlier:

- ffmpeg, compiled with the libpita extension (precompiled binaries are not available)
- A special fork of Node.js that my friend Slippery Pete published in 2010 (you'll need Ubuntu 6.06 to compile it)
- Perl 4

The most common and frivolous dependencies I see are date parsing libraries. Have you seen instructions like this?

BAD: *Add third-party dependencies to solve trivial problems.*

The CSV file contains dates in **YYYY-MM-DD** format. To parse it, install this 400 MB library designed to parse any date string in any format, language, and locale.

You never need a whole third-party library to parse a simple date string in example code. At worst, you can parse it yourself with five lines of code.

Beyond making your guide harder to follow, each dependency also decreases your tutorial's lifespan. In a month, the external library might push an update that breaks your code. Or the publisher could unpublish the library, and now your tutorial is useless.

You can't always eliminate dependencies, so use them strategically. If your tutorial resizes an image, go ahead and use a third-party image library instead of reimplementing JPEG decoding from scratch. But if you can save yourself a dependency with less than 20 lines of code, it's almost always better to keep your tutorial lean.

Pin your dependencies to specific versions

Be explicit about which versions of tools and libraries you use in your tutorial. Libraries publish updates that break backward compatibility, so make sure the reader knows which version you confirmed as working.

BAD: *Use poorly defined dependencies.*

Install a stable version of Node.js.

GOOD: *Declare explicit versions for your dependencies.*

Install Node.js 22.x. I tested this on Node.js v22.12.0 (LTS).

Specify filenames clearly

My biggest pet peeve in a tutorial is when it casually instructs me to “add this line to your configuration file.”

Which configuration file? Where?

BAD: *Give vague instructions about how to edit a file.*

To enable tree-shaking, add this setting to your config file:

```
optimization: {
  usedExports: true,
  minimize: true
}
```

If the reader needs to edit a file, give them the full path to the file, and show them exactly which line to edit.

There are plenty of ways to communicate the filename: in a code comment, in a heading, or even in the preceding paragraph. Anything works as long as it unambiguously shows the user where to make the change.

GOOD: *Be specific about which file to edit and where to place changes.*

To enable tree-shaking, add the following **optimization** setting to your Webpack configuration file under **module.exports**:

```
// frontend/webpack.config.js

module.exports = {
  mode: "production",
  entry: "./index.js",
  output: {
    filename: "bundle.js",
  },
  // Enable tree-shaking to remove unused code.
```

```
optimization: {  
  usedExports: true,  
  minimize: true,  
},  
};
```

Use consistent, descriptive headings

Most readers skim a tutorial before they decide to read it in detail. Skimming helps the reader assess whether the tutorial will deliver what they need and how difficult it will be to follow.

If you omit headings, your tutorial will intimidate the reader with a giant wall of text.

Instead, use headings to structure your tutorial. A 25-step tutorial feels friendlier if you structure it as a five-step tutorial in which each step has four to six substeps.

Write clear headings

It's not enough to stick a few headings between long stretches of text.

Think about the wording of the headings so that they communicate as much as possible without sacrificing brevity.

Which of these tutorials would you rather read?

1. Go
2. Installation
3. Hello, world!
4. Deployment

Or this?

1. Why Choose Go?
2. Install Go 1.23
3. Create a Basic "Hello, World" Go App
4. Deploy Your App to the Web

The second example communicates more information to the reader and helps them decide if this is the right tutorial for them.

Make your headings consistent

Before you publish your tutorial, review your headings for consistency.

BAD: *Use inconsistent headings.*

1. How I Installed Go 1.23
2. Step 2: Your First App
3. How I package Go apps
4. Part D: How you'll deploy your App

Checking headings for consistency

- Casing
 - Do your headings use title casing or sentence casing?
- Point of view
 - Are the steps presented as “I did X,” “You do X,” or neutral?
- Verb tense
 - Are you using present tense, past tense, or future tense?

Create a logical structure with your headings

Ensure that your headings reflect a logical structure in your tutorial.

I often see tutorials where the headings create a nonsensical structure.

BAD: *Use illogical heading structure.*

1. Why Go?
 - a. The history of nginx
 - b. Configuring nginx for local access
2. Creating your First Go app
 - a. Why Go is better than Perl
3. Serve a basic page

In the example above, the heading “Why Go?” has a subheading of “The history of nginx,” even though nginx’s history isn’t a logical subtopic of Go.

Demonstrate that your solution works

If your tutorial teaches the reader how to install a tool or integrate multiple components, show how to use the result.

BAD: *Show installation but nothing else.*

Finally, run this command to enable the nginx service:

```
sudo systemctl enable nginx
```

Congratulations! You're done!

I assume that you know how to do everything from here, so I offer no further guidance.

If you explain how to install something, use the result to show the reader how it works.

Your example can be as simple as printing out the version string. Just show how to use the tool for *something* so that the reader knows whether or not the tutorial worked.

GOOD: *Show the reader how to interact with the tool.*

Finally, run this command to enable the nginx service:

```
sudo systemctl enable nginx
```

Next, visit this URL in your browser:

- <http://localhost/>

If everything worked, you should see the default nginx success page.



Figure 5. The nginx success page

In the following sections, I'll show you how to replace nginx's default webpage and configure nginx's settings for your needs.

Link to a complete example

Even if you're diligent about keeping the reader oriented throughout the tutorial, it still helps to show how everything fits together.

Link the reader to a code repository that contains all the code you demonstrated in your tutorial.

Ideally, the repository should run against a continuous integration system such as CircleCI or GitHub Actions to demonstrate that your example builds in a fresh environment.

Bonus: Show the complete code at each stage

I like to split my repository into git branches so that the reader can see the complete state of the project at every step of the tutorial, not just the final result.

For example, in my tutorial, "[Using Nix to Fuzz Test a PDF Parser](#)," I show the reader the earliest buildable version of the repository in its own branch:

- <https://gitlab.com/mtlynch/fuzz-xpdf/-/tree/01-compile-xpdf>

At the end of the tutorial, I link to the final result:

- <https://gitlab.com/mtlynch/fuzz-xpdf>

If your tutorial involves files that are too large to show for each change, link to branches to show how the pieces of your tutorial fit together.

Chapter 4. Write Blog Posts that Developers Read

When I started programming, I read tons of software blogs, but I didn't dare start my own. I was just a junior developer, so what could I say that would be smart or interesting?

I didn't start blogging until a full decade into my career. Once I started, I wished I'd been doing it since the beginning. There's an infinite number of useful ways to write about software, and anyone can contribute something useful.

Writing a blog is one of the most rewarding things I've done in my career. It continually sharpens my skills as a developer, exposes me to new ideas, and connects me with interesting people.

Every time I write about something, it makes me better at whatever I'm writing about. Explaining my ideas forces me to challenge my assumptions and consider alternatives. Often, the act of explaining my thought process reveals a better solution than what I sat down to write about.

As my blog has grown in popularity, it's also boosted my career. When I started a new business in 2020, all of my early customers discovered my product through my blog. When I email strangers to ask questions or propose that we collaborate, they often tell me that they agreed because they enjoy my blog. Odds are, you discovered this book because of my blog.

In my nine years of blogging, some of my articles have reached 100k readers in a single day, while others have flopped. Through trial and error, I've discovered ways to reach more readers and present my ideas in ways that resonate with them.

Some blogging success comes down to luck, but you control more of it than you think. In this chapter, I'll share the practical techniques you can use to write better blog posts and reach more readers. You'll learn:

- How to think of ideas for blog posts
- How to screen ideas based on their likelihood of reaching readers
- How to use your blog to find customers for your product or service
- How to give your readers the best possible reading experience

You're qualified to write a blog post

One of my teammates once told me that he wanted to try blogging, but he didn't know what to write about.

He was a sharp developer, and he frequently taught his colleagues new programming techniques. I suggested that he write about Bash programming, as his Bash scripts were exceptionally clear despite him learning the language only six months prior.

"Oh, I can't write about that," he said. "I'm no Bash expert."

You don't have to be an expert

So many developers think there's a rule saying you can't write a blog post until you're an internationally-recognized expert in the subject. It's not true.

More often than not, a lack of expertise is an advantage in blogging, not an obstacle.

Imagine that you'd never written a line of C++ in your life, so you spend 20 hours learning enough C++ to write a few toy programs. You write a blog post about what you learned and what resources helped you. Another C++ beginner reads your blog post and reaches your skill level in only 10 hours. That would be a useful blog post, and you'd be entirely qualified to write it.

Maybe you're thinking, "Sure, I could write a blog post that saves a beginner 10 hours, but the world's greatest C++ developer could probably write a blog post that saves the reader 15 hours."

There are two flaws with that reasoning:

1. The world's greatest C++ programmer isn't writing blog posts about the basics of the language.
2. Even if the world's greatest C++ programmer tried to write an introduction to the language, yours would be better.

Claim (1) is unsurprising. The world's greatest C++ developer is probably off earning six figures per week fixing mind-melting bugs for mega-corporations, not writing basic tutorials.

Claim (2) might surprise you, but it's true. The world's greatest C++ developer doesn't remember how to learn C++ because they did it decades ago. And even if they remember, the way they learned back then isn't the best way to learn today. To make matters worse, they spend all their time with other experts, so they're totally out of touch with how beginners think about programming.

There's a name for the difficulty that experts experience in relating to beginners: [The Curse](#)

of Knowledge. Because they’ve been deep in a subject for so long, experts struggle to explain ideas to non-experts. If you’ve ever asked a computer science PhD what their doctoral thesis was about and then nodded politely throughout their rambling, incomprehensible answer, you’ve witnessed the curse.

Don’t overstep your expertise

What happens if you write about something before you’re an expert, and then someone smarter reads it? Will they mock your blog post and denounce you for even trying?

The Internet is teeming with grumpy readers who consider themselves experts, but you can protect yourself from their bullying and nitpicking.

Typically, people get angry about blog posts not because the author has too little expertise but because they accidentally presented themselves as an expert. I call this “overstepping your expertise.”

If you just learned web development a week ago, you’ll probably get hostile responses if you write articles with titles like “Every Web Framework is Dumb” or “10 Rules that Every Professional PHP Developer Absolutely Must Know.” Posturing in this way suggests a level of authority that doesn’t match your experience.

Writing at your level of expertise doesn’t mean apologizing to the reader or couching everything you say in self-doubt. It just means presenting what you know in an honest way rather than pretending to know more than you do.

You can avoid overstepping your expertise by following two simple guidelines:

1. Write descriptively rather than prescriptively.
 - Write what you personally found effective rather than prescribing to your readers what they should do.
2. Instead of critiquing the technology, describe your experience learning it.
 - Resist the temptation to denounce an API or language as “bad.” Instead, say that you found it confusing. You’re qualified to speak authoritatively about your own experience. Leave room for the possibility that the technology has constraints or design choices you don’t yet appreciate.
 - Conversely, avoid saying that something is the “best” way of doing something. Without experience, you can’t assess the “best” solution. Instead, present it as the best way that you’ve found.

Consider this explanation of the `set -u` option in Bash:

BAD: *Adopt an authoritative tone and speak in absolutes.*

All Bash scripts should begin with the `set -u` option. It’s a critical feature that prevents

unexpected behavior at runtime.

The above sentence adopts an authoritative tone, implying that the author is a Bash expert. It's prescriptive rather than descriptive, meaning that it tells the reader what to do rather than what the author does.

In contrast, consider this alternative wording that avoids overstepping the author's expertise:

GOOD: *Describe your personal experience.*

The `set -u` option made it so much easier for me to write Bash scripts. The default behavior in Bash is to treat undefined variables as empty strings, which made debugging harder. When you enable the `set -u` option, Bash exits with an error whenever it encounters an undefined variable. That option helped me catch bugs early, as I've never had a situation where I want Bash to treat an undefined variable as an empty string.

The second description of the `set -u` command avoids an authoritative tone and presents information descriptively.

The second version is also harder to nitpick. Even if the world's grumpiest Bash expert reads your post, what is there to argue against? No matter how much someone knows about Bash, you're still correct in claiming that you personally had a better experience after you discovered the `set -u` option. They might have some "well, actually" counterexample, but they'll be far less feisty than had you decreed that everyone needs to set this option all the time.

As you gain more comfort and experience in a technology, you should strengthen your language to convey more authority. Over time, you'll develop a more nuanced view of techniques by seeing where they work and where they don't. As you develop this more mature perspective, you can speak more authoritatively rather than presenting yourself as a beginner.

Two people can write about the same topic

What if you have an idea for a blog post and see that someone has already written something similar? That's okay. There's enough room on the Internet for two articles about the same thing.

In music, it's common for multiple artists to perform the exact same song. For example, The Beatles released "Lucy in the Sky with Diamonds" in 1967. Since then, many artists have released cover versions, including Elton John, The Black Crowes, and Miley Cyrus. Each performer brings their own voice and style to the song, so different listeners prefer different versions.

Even if there are already articles about a topic you want to blog about, some readers will prefer the way you "sing" it. You'll naturally have a unique way of explaining concepts and

choosing what details to include, and some readers will prefer your version to anything else out there.

The only time existing articles should worry you is if there are dozens of them from more established websites. In those cases, all the noise will make it hard for readers to find your post (see [Plan a path to your readers](#)).


Example: Leaning into my weaknesses as an electrical engineer

A few years ago, my friend and I built a robot named GreenPiThumb to automatically water my plants, so I wrote [a blog post](#) about it.

GreenPiThumb was my first time working with electronics, and I found a lot of the concepts confusing. When I wrote the first draft, I tried to pretend I knew what I was talking about.

My writing felt phony. And it was phony. I was misrepresenting how much I knew. Worst of all, faking knowledge was hard. For every sentence I wrote, I had to do hours of research to make sure I wasn't saying something wrong.

Instead, I tried a different strategy. I embraced the humor of our inexperience. During the project, so many things went wrong because we didn't know what we were doing, so I wrote about that. I joked about how I wired a transistor incorrectly, and it got so hot that it melted part of our equipment.



That article became one of my first hit blog posts, reaching 37k readers in its first week. Several other bloggers had written about similar plant-watering robots, but GreenPiThumb gained more attention.

I suspect part of our relative success was that we were free from The Curse of Knowledge. The other posts assumed the reader understood hobbyist electronics, but we showed how far the average person could go with no electronics background at all.

Example: Julia Evans’s immunity to The Curse of Knowledge

Julia Evans is a popular software blogger, known for her tech-oriented zines. One key to her success is a seeming immunity to The Curse of Knowledge.

Julia has an astonishing ability to retain her learner’s mindset long after she gains expertise in a subject. She writes with warmth and empathy, using language that’s approachable regardless of the reader’s prior knowledge.

One way that Julia avoids The Curse of Knowledge is by writing as she learns. Her 2023 post [“Some notes on using nix”](#) is a good example of her writing about a technology as she learned it:

I’ve been trying to figure out how to use nix in a way that’s as simple as possible and does not involve managing any configuration files or learning a new programming language. Here’s what I’ve figured out so far!

You can see from the excerpt that Julia adopts a descriptive, non-authoritative tone. She makes it clear that she’s not an expert, but she’s sharing what she’s learned.

Julia’s Nix post is also non-judgmental. Even when she runs into rough edges, she never says Nix is dumb or bad, just that it doesn’t work the way she expected:

There’s a more aggressive version of `nix-collect-garbage` that also deletes old versions of your profiles (so that you can’t rollback)

```
$ nix-collect-garbage -d --delete-old
```

That doesn’t delete `/nix/store/8pjnk6jr54z77jiq5g2dbx8887dnxbda-oil-0.14.0` either though and I’m not sure why.

You might think, “Why would I want to learn Nix from someone who doesn’t really understand it?” Julia’s post got me to start using Nix. I’d been interested in the technology for a long time, but every other Nix article I’d found was filled with jargon and assumptions about my background knowledge. Julia’s post was the first one that met me at my level and showed me the basics of Nix in terms that made sense to me.

Choosing topics

Developers often hesitate to start blogging because they can’t think of anything to write about. They feel like everything they’re qualified to explain, someone else has already explained.

In the 2002 film, *Adaptation*, a struggling screenwriter asks his instructor how to write a screenplay that's like "the real world," where "nothing much happens." The question infuriates his instructor, who spends the next two minutes eviscerating him:

Nothing happens in the world? Are you out of your fucking mind? People are murdered every day. There's genocide, war, corruption. Every fucking day, somewhere in the world, somebody sacrifices his life to save someone else. Every fucking day, someone, somewhere takes a conscious decision to *destroy* someone else. People find love; people lose it. For Christ's sake—a child watches her mother beaten to death on the steps of a church. Someone goes hungry. Somebody else betrays his best friend for a woman.

If you can't find that stuff in life, then you, my friend, don't know crap about life.

-*Adaptation* (2002)

Your blog posts don't need to be as dramatic as all that, but if you feel like you're not experiencing anything worth writing about, you're not looking hard enough.

As a developer, you're learning new things all the time. Even if your work feels repetitive, the way you'd solve a problem today is not the same as you would a year ago. Somewhere along the line, you've learned something worth sharing.

How I think of blog topics

When I started my blog, I barely had any topic ideas. As I write more and see what readers respond to, it's become easier to recognize how to translate an experience or passing thought into an interesting blog post.

Most of my blog topic ideas come from the following questions:

What's a technology I want to learn more about?

Blogging is a great way to learn new technologies. By explaining what you learn as you go, it solidifies your understanding of the material and helps you think about the technology in a systematic way.

Beginner blog posts also attract expert feedback. Experts don't want to deal with lazy learners who can't put in effort of their own. When you blog about what you learn, it shows experts that you're willing to do hard work and contribute back to the community. I frequently receive feedback from experts, including the lead developers of the language or tool I'm writing about.

Examples:

- [My First Impressions of Glean](#)
- [Using Nix to Fuzz Test a PDF Parser](#)

- [Using Zig to Call C Code: Strings](#)

Did I earn or lose a lot of money?

People are generally reluctant to talk about money, so if you share details about your finances, readers get excited. The downside is that readers pay outsized attention to the financial details, even if they're a minor part of your post.

Part of the fear around financial transparency is that a competitor might take advantage of the information you share. That's a legitimate concern, but people overestimate the risk. I've been largely transparent about my business finances for seven years, and I've never seen it give a meaningful advantage to a competitor. Instead, I've gotten helpful feedback and greater visibility for sharing details of my work.

Remember that transparency isn't all-or-nothing. You choose what to disclose, you can do so strategically, and you can stop whenever you want. For example, if I found an amazingly effective website to advertise my product, I wouldn't blab to everyone about it because that's too easy for a competitor to steal.

Examples:

- [I Sold TinyPilot, My First Successful Business](#)
- [I Regret My \\$46k Website Redesign](#)

What did I learn from a video or podcast?

If I learned something useful from a podcast or video, I sometimes publish my notes as a blog post. I'm spending the time listening or watching anyway, so the marginal effort to publish my notes is small. Sometimes these posts attract a surprising number of readers.

I typically publish notes about podcasts and videos, but you can do this with any media that's not in a web-friendly format. For example, in 2024, Simon Willison published notes [about a leaked PDF from a popular YouTube star](#). All he did was quote the salient points from the document and add a few sentences of light commentary. I bet it took him less than an hour to write, but it became [one of the most popular Hacker News posts](#) of the year.

Examples:

- [GUIs are Antisocial](#)
- [Designing the Ideal Bootstrapped Business](#)

My other common topic ideas

Topic Idea	Examples
What showcases a product I made?	• TinyPilot: Build a KVM Over IP for Under \$100 • Building a Budget Homelab NAS Server
What did I recently explain to someone?	• if got, want: A Simple Way to Write Better Go Tests • Guidelines for Freelance Developers Working with Me
What story do I keep telling friends and teammates?	• How I Stole Your Siacoin • I Regret My \$46k Website Redesign • Adventures in Outsourcing: Cooking with TaskRabbit
What's an idea I'm passionate about?	• How to Make Your Code Reviewer Fall in Love with You • Why Good Developers Write Bad Unit Tests
What did I learn from a recent project?	• Why does an extraneous build step make my Zig app 10x faster? • Stripe is Silently Recording Your Movements On its Customers' Websites

My topic strategy is not optimized for gaining subscribers

Most popular software bloggers have a narrower focus than I do. For example, [John Gruber](#) mainly writes about Apple, and [Josh Comeau](#) writes about frontend development.

I bias my writing towards my own enjoyment. There's no single topic I'd enjoy writing about for years, so I flit around from topic to topic. I sacrifice blog subscribers for the sake of enjoying what I write.

When you choose topics, consider the tradeoff between building an audience around a narrow focus and exploring your curiosity with a broader focus.

What topics I avoid

There are some topics that I deliberately avoid either because they're not worth writing about or because their net impact on the world is negative.

I must write this even if nobody reads it

I used to have an overwhelming desire to write certain posts regardless of whether anyone

would read them. I'd think, "I've wasted so much time learning this thing nobody else has documented, so I *have* to explain it. Audience be damned!"

That attitude ended when I wrote "[Hiring Content Writers: A Guide for Small Businesses](#)." It's the longest piece I've ever written, and I knew while I was writing it that I'd have a hard time getting anyone to read it.

I published the article and couldn't find anywhere to share it. The regular readers of my software blog weren't interested in a novel-length guide to hiring content writers. And search engine results for the topic were filled with spammy sites that I could never outrank. It wasn't a match for any forum, either.

After that experience, I decided that my writing time was finite, so why spend two months writing an article that nobody will read? I can use that time to write articles that will potentially reach thousands of interested readers.

It still pains me to learn something that nobody has documented and then move on without adding any documentation myself. As a compromise with myself, I write shorter, messier posts in a separate "[Notes](#)" section of my blog. My notes are deliberately less polished than my other articles, but they teach the important parts of what I learned.

Starting an argument / attacking people

Some bloggers enjoy arguing online, so they publish inflammatory posts about why some technology sucks or why PHP is better than Ruby. I admittedly enjoy reading those posts, but I don't enjoy being part of online fights. It's just too much of a time suck.

I also avoid attacking people or businesses, even if I think they're doing something unbelievably stupid. I'll make exceptions if they do something harmful, but even then, I prioritize it lower than articles where I'm just teaching something rather than stoking conflict.

For example, I [criticized Stripe](#), the payment processing company, for what I viewed as egregious privacy violations. But that post required a lot of extra work, as [readers questioned my findings](#), and an executive from Stripe reached out for a 1:1 conversation. I was glad to see the post make an impact, but it reminded me that critical posts come with extra baggage.

How I rank ideas

I'm a slow writer, so I always have a backlog of post ideas that I haven't written yet. When I'm deciding which idea to grab from my backlog, I choose based on several criteria:

- How excited am I to write this?
- Does this align with my goals?
- How much can I bring to the conversation?


- How many people are interested in this topic? And can I reach them?
- How long will this remain relevant?
- How difficult will this be to write?

Think one degree bigger

When you write an article, you hopefully have a type of reader in mind. For example, if you wrote an article called “Debugging Memory Leaks in Java,” you probably assumed that the reader is an intermediate to advanced Java developer.

Most software bloggers never think to ask, “Is there a wider audience for this topic?”

For example, “intermediate to advanced Java developers” are a subset of “Java developers,” who are a subset of “programmers,” who are a subset of “people who read blog posts.”



If you wrote an article for intermediate and advanced Java developers, how much would have to change for the article to appeal to Java developers of any experience level?

Often, the change is just an extra sentence or two early in the article to introduce a concept or replace jargon with more accessible terms.

Jeff: Sony has a futuristic sci-fi movie they’re looking to make.

Nick: Cigarettes in space?

Jeff: It's the final frontier, Nick.

Nick: But wouldn't they blow up in an all-oxygen environment?

Jeff: Probably. But it's an easy fix. One line of dialogue. "Thank God we invented the... you know, whatever device."

— Thank You for Smoking (2005)

The set of all Java developers is about 10x larger than the set of intermediate and advanced Java developers. That means small tweaks can expand the reach of your article by an order of magnitude.

Obviously, you can't broaden every article, and you can't keep broadening your audience forever. No matter how well you explain background concepts, your tax accountant will never read an article about memory leaks in Java. The point isn't to write articles that appeal to every possible reader but to notice opportunities to reach a larger audience.

Example: "How I Stole Your Siacoin"

One of my earliest successes in blogging was an article called "[How I Stole Your Siacoin](#)." It was about a time I stole a reddit user's cryptocurrency (for noble reasons, I promise).

Initially, I thought the story would resonate with the few hundred people who followed a niche cryptocurrency called Siacoin. As I was editing the article, I realized that you didn't have to know anything about Siacoin to understand my story. I revised it slightly so it would make sense to cryptocurrency enthusiasts who had never heard of Siacoin.

Then, I realized I could even explain this story to people who knew nothing about cryptocurrency. I adjusted the terminology to use regular-person terms like "wallet" and "passphrase" and avoided crypto-specific terms like "blockchain" or "Merkle tree."

The article was my first-ever hit. It became the most popular story of all time not only on the [/r/siacoin subreddit](#) but also on the larger [/r/cryptocurrency subreddit](#). It reached [the front page of Hacker News](#), even though readers there are generally hostile to cryptocurrency-focused stories.




Figure 6. *“How I Stole Your Siacoin”* only needed a few tweaks to be enjoyable for people who didn’t know anything about cryptocurrency.

Grab the reader’s attention

The hardest venue for winning over the reader is a blog post or tutorial. Readers generally find your writing through a search engine or social media, so they have no relationship with you, and there are generally many alternatives to your post.

In those cases, you have to invest a lot into your hook to have a chance of getting the reader’s attention.

A refresher on the reader’s two key questions:


1. Is this relevant to me?
2. Is this worth my time?

In a blog post, give yourself the title plus your first three sentences to answer both questions for the reader. If you find yourself in paragraph two and you haven’t answered either question, you’re in trouble.

To show the reader you’re writing for them, mention topics they care about, and use terminology they recognize. If you throw out jargon or unfamiliar concepts, the reader assumes the article isn’t meant for them and clicks away.

Your introduction should also make it clear to the reader how the article will benefit them. There are many possible benefits you can offer:

- A technique the reader can apply in their work or personal life.
- A clear explanation of a concept that impacts the reader’s work or personal life.
- An insight that gives the reader a better understanding of a particular technology or industry.
- A story or rant that resonates with the reader.



Tell it like a story

A year after I started my blog, I hired a professional editor for feedback about my writing (I’ll share more details in [Work with a Professional Editor](#)). She read [my post about finding an inexpensive private chef](#) through a gig worker platform and wrote back:

What are you trying to accomplish with this story?

If it’s just the facts, you’ve done that, but I think you can do more. This is creative writing. Have fun with it. Make the reader laugh. Make the reader want to keep reading.

At first, I was confused. There was no “story.” It was just a detailed explanation of a weird thing I did.

Oh, wait. That's a story!

It never occurred to me that I could use my blog to tell stories. I thought of blogging purely as a vehicle for explaining technical concepts. I tried to exclude my thoughts and feelings as much as possible.

But humans are wired for stories. Readers find stories more engaging, and they remember information better if it's part of a story.

In the nine years I've been blogging, a few of my posts have gone viral and attracted 100-200k readers in a week. Uncoincidentally, those posts were all stories. When I meet someone at a tech conference that recognizes my name, it invariably turns out that they've read one of my stories.

What's a story?

My editor pointed out that my private chef post was just a collection of facts, not a story. So, what's the difference?

There's obviously more to storytelling than I can cover here, but I'll explain the basics of how I approach stories on my blog so they don't sound like bland dumps of information.

Stories have emotion

The most conspicuous difference between a story and a list of facts is that stories have emotion. Stories explain how you felt at different parts of an experience. Emotions are what allow the reader to relate to you and connect your experience to their life.

Consider this story that has zero emotion:

BAD: *Tell a story devoid of emotion.*

I arrived at the interview at 9 AM. I shook the interviewer's hand. Then, I explained my credentials. My performance on the first question was weak. The second question was about my experience with PHP. For approximately 20 minutes, we discussed a hobby PHP interpreter I had written. My performance during the PHP portion of the interview was good.

Not a particularly engaging story, is it? It sounds like a robot who's impersonating a human (poorly).

What does the same story sound like with emotion?

GOOD: *Weave emotions into a story.*

When I arrived at the interview, my palms were so sweaty that I probably drenched my interviewer's hand. I can't even recall his first question, just the panic I felt staring into

his bored, expressionless face.

At some point, I mumbled that I'd recently built a PHP interpreter from scratch, and his eyes lit up. Within seconds, I felt my anxieties melt away and my mind sharpen. Before I knew it, we'd been eagerly discussing the internals of my hobby PHP interpreter for 20 minutes.

The second story is more evocative. As you read it, you probably remembered a time in your life when you felt anxious or pressured to make a good impression. I bet the second version stays in your mind longer than the first one.

Stories zoom in on key moments

Instead of describing people or events in generalities, like "Nina is a cheerful teammate" or "Initech was a miserable place to work," look for opportunities to zoom in on a key moment that captures what you're describing.

BAD: Tell a story using generalities.

My engineering director is bad with technology. He found our products confusing and would often need help achieving basic functionality with any piece of tech.

Instead, consider this version that zooms in on a particular moment:

GOOD: Tell a story by zooming in on an important moment.

One morning, my engineering director approached my desk in a huff. He had discovered a defective button while testing his phone prototype, and he found this unacceptable. "Every time I push this button," he barked, "the thing immediately dies!" Anxiously, I inspected his device: he was pressing the power button.

The zoomed in version is more compelling and memorable. The reader can visualize this scene in their mind. If you simply list off facts about the engineering director, they just have to memorize details, which is harder and less fun.

Stories exclude irrelevant details

My friend Jessie is terrible at telling stories because she's fascinated by details that other people find irrelevant.

Jessie once told me a story about an older man who awkwardly tried to flirt with her at the grocery store. In the middle of this story, she explained at length how she got a great deal on peanut butter with her customer loyalty card.

As we approached minute two of this peanut butter digression, I lost patience. "Jessie, what happened with the creepy guy?" I asked. "The peanut butter isn't the interesting part."

"I saved \$2." Jessie countered. "I think that's pretty interesting!"

Jessie’s storytelling lacks editing. She shares every detail she can remember about her experience, regardless of how interesting it is for the listener.

When telling a story, think about what you want the reader to learn and what they’ll find interesting. Ruthlessly cut anything that fails to serve those goals.

Stories grant creative license

A blog post is not court testimony. You didn’t swear to tell the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth. As an author, you can adjust the details of a story to fit your narrative. This is called “[creative license](#).”

You shouldn’t make things up for an engaging story, but you also shouldn’t feel compelled to disclose every single fact as accurately as possible. For example, in the previous section, I told a story about my friend Jessie, but I took several creative liberties:

- Her name isn’t really Jessie.
- We had a falling out 10 years ago, so we’re no longer friends.
- The setting was actually a pharmacy not a grocery store.
- The conversation occurred 20 years ago. I used direct quotes even though I don’t remember the conversation verbatim.
- I exaggerated the length of the peanut butter digression.

Do you feel scandalized and betrayed? Hopefully not. I massaged details to make a tidier story, but the changes don’t undermine the point of the story.

Use creative license according to your own sense of integrity. I use creative license to simplify messy-but-irrelevant details of a story, but I won’t fabricate the essence of a story to make a point.

The type of article you’re writing and the medium in which you publish also impacts how much creative license you have. If you publish a Rust tutorial on your personal blog and include a quirky story about your boss, Doofy McSpreadsheet, the reader doesn’t expect that story to be 100% true. If you write a scathing exposé about a public figure for a widely-read political blog, the reader will have a much stricter expectation that every detail is true.

When should you tell it like a story?

After my editor gave me the idea to use storytelling, I went a bit story-crazy. I tried to make *every* blog post into a story.

I wrote “[How to Do Code Reviews Like a Human](#),” which was a list of communication techniques for code reviews. But I finished the post and thought, “Oh, no! Nobody’s going to like this post because it’s not a story!” So, I awkwardly shoehorned in a story about my worst code review experience. I’m still proud of that post, but every time I read it, the story

portion feels forced and out of place.

On the other hand, “[TinyPilot: Build a KVM over IP for Under \\$100](#)” is both a personal story and a tutorial, and the combination feels organic to me.

So, it’s hard to set a simple rule for when to present information as a story other than, “Tell a story when it feels right.” My mistake in “How to Do Code Reviews Like a Human” was including the story for the sake of including a story. In other posts where I mix a story with more practical information, the story serves the lesson, so it feels natural rather than forced.

Plan a path to your readers

Suppose you wrote the greatest beginner’s tutorial imaginable for the Python programming language. Both your five-year-old nephew and 80-year-old dentist blazed through it with ease and delight. Everyone who reads your tutorial goes on to become a Python core contributor.

Bad news: nobody will ever read your Python tutorial.

“Lies!” you shout. “Thousands of developers learn Python every year. Why wouldn’t my objectively awesome tutorial become popular?”

Well, think it through. What happens after you hit publish? How does anyone find your article?

You’re probably thinking: Google.

Yes, your friend Google will index your tutorial and use its secret Google magic to identify your article’s superior quality. Before you know it, your tutorial will be the top result for **python tutorial**.

Except that can’t happen because there are so many Python tutorials out there already on sites that Google prefers over yours. You’ll never even make it to the first page of results.




Figure 7. It's nearly impossible for a new blog post to rank well in Google for the search term **python tutorial**.

Okay, so you'll submit your Python tutorial to reddit. The [/r/python subreddit](#) has over 1.3 million subscribers. If even 5% of them read your article, that's a huge audience:




Figure 8. The /r/python subreddit has over 1.3 million subscribers.

Whoops! /r/python only accepts text posts, not external links, so you can't post your tutorial there.




Figure 9. The /r/python subreddit disables the option to submit external links.

Fine, then you'll submit it to Hacker News. They accept anything and let their members decide what's interesting. Surely, they'll recognize the quality of your work!

Nope, it will flop there, too. Hacker News doesn't like tutorials, especially for mainstream technologies like Python.

You can try sharing your tutorial by tweeting it, skeeting it, or tooting it, but unless you already have a massive following on social media, that won't reach a critical mass either.

So, what's the answer? How do you get people to read your amazing Python tutorial?

The answer is that you don't write a beginner's Python tutorial.

You need a realistic path to your readers

If you want people to read your blog, choose topics that have a clear path to your readers. Before you begin writing, think through how readers will find your post.

Questions to ask when considering an article topic

- Is it realistic for readers to find you via Google search?
 - Are there already 500 articles about the same topic from more established websites?
 - What keywords would your target reader search? Try searching those keywords, and see whether there are already relevant results from well-known domains.
- If you're going to submit it to a link aggregator like Hacker News or Lobsters, how often do posts like yours succeed there?
- If you're going to share it on a subreddit or niche forum, does it have any chance there?
 - Does the forum accept links to blog posts?
 - The bigger the community, the stricter the rules tend to be about external links and self-promotion.
 - Do blog posts like yours ever succeed there?
 - Is the community still active?

The best plan is to give your post multiple chances to succeed. If you're betting everything on Google bubbling your post to the top, it could take months or years to find out if you succeeded. If you're relying on Hacker News or reddit to tell you whether your article is worth reading, they're going to break your heart a lot.

Example: "Using Zig to Unit Test a C Application"

In 2023, I wrote an article called ["Using Zig to Unit Test a C Application."](#) It was about using a new low-level language called [Zig](#) to write tests for legacy C code.

Before I wrote the article, I knew that there were several places where I could share it. By luck, they all worked out:

- Hacker News is extremely friendly to Zig content, so my article [reached the #7 spot on](#)

[the front page](#).

- Lobsters is extremely friendly to Zig content, so my article was [one of the top links of the day](#).
- Google bubbled my article to the top result for the keywords **zig unit testing c**.
 - It's actually even a top result for just **zig unit testing** because there aren't many articles about the topic.
- The /r/Zig subreddit accepts links to blog posts, even if they're self-promotion, so my post [reached the top spot in that subreddit](#).
- Ziggit is a niche forum that's welcoming to Zig-related articles, so my post received [1,000 views from Ziggit](#).

Show more pictures

The biggest bang-for-your-buck change you can make to a blog post is to add pictures.

If your article features long stretches of text, think about whether there's any photo, screenshot, graph, or diagram that could make the post more visually interesting.

- If you're talking about a program with a graphical interface, show screenshots.
- If you're talking about an improvement in metrics like app performance or active users, show graphs.
- If you're writing about your server getting overloaded, show a screenshot of what that looked like in your dashboard or email alerts.
- If you're explaining a difficult concept, draw a diagram.

I [hire illustrators](#) for most of my blog posts. I typically pay \$50-100 per illustration. For simple diagrams like the nested circle sketches above, I use [Excalidraw](#), which is free and [open-source](#).

Free stock photos and AI-generated images are better than nothing, but they're worse than anything else, including terrible MS Paint drawings.




Figure 10. Even a terrible MS Paint drawing is more interesting than an AI-generated image.

Accomodate skimmers

Many readers skim an article first to decide if it's worth reading. Dazzle those readers during the skim.

If the reader only saw your headings and images, would it pique their interest?

The worst thing for a skimmer to see is a wall of text: long paragraphs with no images or headings to break them up. Just text, text, text all the way down.

Example: Boring structure vs. interesting structure

I wrote [“End-to-End Testing Web Apps: The Painless Way”](#) in 2019, before I thought about structure. If you skim the article, does it make you want to read the full version?

Probably not. The headings don't reveal much about the content, and the visuals are confusing.

Consider my more recent article, [“I Regret My \\$46k Website Redesign.”](#)

If you skim that article, you still see the bones of a good story, and there are interesting visual elements to draw the reader in.

One of those articles barely attracted any readers, and the other became one of the most popular articles I ever published, attracting 150k unique readers in its first week. Can you

guess which is which?

Tool: Read like a skimmer

The *Refactoring English* website contains a [JavaScript bookmarklet](#) that you can use to see what your article looks like with just headings and images.

Chapter 5. Find Customers through Blogging

Blogging is a great way to find customers for your product. If you do it well, a single blog post can bring you hundreds of initial customers and attract attention for years. Best of all, you can do it for free. I've found early customers for all of my products through blogging.

Blogging to attract customers vs. "content marketing."

"Content marketing" is the umbrella term that describes writing blog posts, creating videos, or recording podcasts as a way to attract customers. I avoid that term because it makes writing sound bland and soulless, as if it exists to trick the users into consuming an ad.

Most of the people who talk about content marketing don't care about the content part of the equation at all. They'd publish dancing poop emoji if it drove signups to their product.

Blogging to attract customers doesn't have to be soulless or self-serving. When you write something genuinely worth the reader's time, you not only attract attention to your product, but you earn credibility with the reader.

Imagine that you were in charge of picking a tool to support automated testing for your team. One product has a plain, unremarkable-looking website but an excellent blog about effective testing techniques. The other has a dazzling website with slick animations and beautiful design but no technical information. I'd absolutely choose the company with the blog, as it indicates that the team understands the domain and designed their product with sound principles in mind.

Boasting doesn't attract customers

Most people who try to find customers through blogging do it in a clumsy, naïve way: they just write about how great their product is.

As an example, imagine that you created a to-do list app for developers, and you called it DevDo. Here are some bad article titles for attracting customers:

- “The Five Coolest Features of DevDo”
- “Feeling Overwhelmed by Your To Do List? DevDo is the Only Solution”
- “Here Are 2000 words of AI-Generated Blather About DevDo”

Those articles have a low chance of success because readers would (correctly) perceive them as ads. If you submitted them to blog discovery sites like Hacker News, Lobsters, or /r/programming, users would likely downvote or flag your post as spam.

Those articles bring no value to the reader. They exist solely to talk about how great your product is. You might argue that boasting about your product *does* help the reader—they’ll discover a useful app! But a piece of media that exists solely to raise awareness of a product is called an ad.

Finding customers through quality writing

If product brags are a poor way to find customers, what’s the alternative?

To generate interest from potential customers and earn credibility, write with these two rules in mind:

1. Provide value to the reader even if they never use your product.
2. Highlight your product organically rather than mentioning it for the sake of advertising.

Instead of focusing on your product and looking for ways to write about it, start with your target customers and think about what they’d like to learn.

Consider how your reader will find your article. They’re either going to come across the post on a link sharing site like Hacker News or reddit, or they’re going to search for a topic. But your target reader doesn’t know about your product yet, so they’re not going to search for your product by name (see [Plan a path to your readers](#)).

For DevDo, your target customers are software developers who want a better solution for managing their tasks. That means you should brainstorm ideas around the topics of software development or task management.

Brainstorming developer-oriented topics

To find topics that appeal to software developers, think about what you learned as you built your product:

- Did you discover a new technique or tool?

- Did you discover a surprising behavior in a popular tool?
- Did you find an unusual use case for an existing technology?
 - e.g., you used SQLite as a message broker
- What do you wish someone had explained to you when you started developing your app?

The more surprising, the better, but you don't have to find anything groundbreaking. You can write, "Reflections on Using SQLite in Production for the First Time," and that will do well as long as you share honest, useful details of your experience.

Your blog post shouldn't pitch your product to the reader. Instead, it should sound like how you'd describe your work in a job interview. Describe your app's goals, constraints, and the thought process for your engineering decisions.

BAD: Pitch your product to the reader.

Lightning-fast responsiveness is what makes **DevDo** users feel 100% satisfied with my product, so I always used **int8** in places where I didn't need a full 32-bit integer. Bloated alternatives like Asana and Microsoft To-Do are so sloppy and bloated that they probably default to **int64**. Click **here** to save 10% on your subscription to **DevDo**!

GOOD: Describe the engineering challenges of building your product.

One of my key requirements was to display DevDo's main task list to the user in under 200ms. I created a continuous integration job that ran on every commit and recorded the load time of the main page. I was surprised to find that changing **int** (implicitly 32 bits) to **int8** (8 bits) on one of my core data structures sped up the initial page load by 18%.

Brainstorming task management topics

The other blogging angle for a to-do list app is to write about task management.

If you care enough about task management to write your own to-do list app, you probably have a unique perspective, so write about that:

- What do you passionately believe about task management that nobody else articulates well?
- What's a task management technique that other apps support poorly?
- How did DevDo change your approach to task management?

As with topics to appeal to developers, the article shouldn't pitch DevDo to the reader. It should share ideas that are useful even if the reader never uses your product. You're talking about a technique or idea where DevDo is an implementation detail, not the solution itself.

BAD: *Pitch your product to the reader.*

One of the things I always hated about other to-do list apps was managing projects with multiple substeps. For example, if I book a hotel, I first have to research options, then review them with my spouse, then make a reservation.

Some to-do apps would overwhelm me with all the steps at once. Others show one task at a time, but as soon as I check off one task, the next task would appear in its place, making me feel like I hadn't made any progress.

I designed DevDo to serve this use case better, so it lets you configure how many subtasks of the project to show per day and how long to wait before showing the next subtask.

GOOD: *Focus on the technique rather than your product.*

I always break large or complex tasks into a series of substeps that occur in order. For example, if I book a hotel, I first have to research options, then review them with my spouse, then make a reservation. I get overwhelmed if I see all the tasks at once, and I find it demoralizing if checking off an item immediately adds a new item to my list.

So, for multi-task projects, I configure DevDo to show me only one task at a time and to wait a day before bumping the next task onto my list of outstanding tasks. <show screenshot of this setting in DevDo> I've done this in other apps by splitting the tasks by date, so that task A is due Monday, task B is due Tuesday, etc.

In the bad version, the focus is on the app rather than the technique of limiting projects to one task at a time. In the good version, the focus is on the technique. It shows how to apply this with DevDo, but it also explains how you can replicate it with other apps.

The tone isn't, "Look at this cool feature of my app!" It's matter of fact - you use this feature to solve your problem. The focus is the technique, not your product.

Tactfully include your product

It takes a bit of finesse to find the right amount of product mentions in a blog post. You don't want to mention your product so frequently that your article feels like an ad, but you also want readers to notice that you offer a related product.

A good blog post makes the reader curious rather than manipulated or tricked. Make sure it's easy for the reader to explore that curiosity and learn more about your product. Link to your product page the first time you mention it, and link again at the end of your post.

Real companies who find customers through blogging

Example: Finding customers for TinyPilot through blogging

My biggest success in finding customers through blogging was with my 2020 post, [“TinyPilot: Build a KVM over IP for Under \\$100.”](#) I’d created a hardware device that let users control their computers remotely, but I wasn’t sure anyone would want to buy it. Within four hours of publishing the blog post, customers purchased my entire stock. The article reached 22k readers on its first day, and 59k in its first week. Even five years later, about 500 readers per month read that post.

The post told the story of how I built the prototype, and I taught the reader to build an identical device with off-the-shelf parts. At the end of the post, I included a link to buy a pre-packaged kit from me rather than finding the parts from scattered merchants.




Figure 11. Photo from my blog post about creating TinyPilot, a device that allowed customers to control computers remotely.

My TinyPilot post worked because it delivered value to the reader before trying to sell them something. My paid product offered something extra.

After the initial launch post, I continued finding new TinyPilot customers through blogging. I created TinyPilot to help me manage my home servers, so I looked for other ways to write about home servers. Later, I wrote [“Building a Budget Homelab NAS Server,”](#) which explained how I chose parts and built my home storage server. When I cover installing the operating system, I show screenshots of what that step looks like in TinyPilot:




Figure 12. Screenshot featuring my TinyPilot product in a post about creating a home storage server.

For people who manage home servers, they know the operating system install step is a pain. If you don't have an extra keyboard and monitor lying around, you need to borrow the setup from your main workstation, which means crawling under your computer, swapping around a bunch of cables, then doing everything in reverse after the install is complete.

I didn't pitch TinyPilot to the reader, but I wanted them to see the screenshots and think, "Michael can install an OS remotely through his browser? That's neat. What's this TinyPilot thing he's using to do that?"

Example: Tailscale explains NAT traversal

Tailscale is networking software that makes it easy to create secure, private connections between computers over the Internet. They enjoy a positive reputation among developers, in no small part due to their high-quality technical blog posts. One example is "[How NAT traversal works](#)," which explains the complexity behind connecting two computers over the Internet ("NAT" stands for "network address translation").

The bad, content marketing version of this article would be called "Never Attempt Peer-to-Peer Connections without Tailscale," and they'd go over all the reasons why NAT traversal is hard, so you should use Tailscale instead.

Instead, Tailscale wrote an extremely thorough and accessible explanation of NAT. The article frequently mentions Tailscale in a way that's organic and helpful rather than forced and spammy. They explain how the Tailscale team thought about these problems when creating the product, which establishes Tailscale's team as knowledgeable and thoughtful.

If you Google "NAT traversal," Tailscale's blog post is one of the top results, and none of the others come close in terms of quality or completeness. If you need to understand NAT traversal and have never heard of Tailscale, the blog post will answer your questions but also leave you curious about this product that makes NAT traversal easier.

Example: Basecamp captures hearts and minds of small agencies

37signals is a software company that built their brand through excellent writing. For eight years, they ran a blog called *Signal v. Noise* that enjoyed wide popularity among developers.

The 37signals blog covered a variety of topics, but their most popular posts were the ones that aggressively criticized practices and attitudes in big tech:

- *“We only hire the best”*
 - Critiques the arrogance of big tech companies who mistakenly believe they have the best engineers.
- *“Eat, sleep, code, repeat” is such bullshit*
 - Attacks the “Eat, sleep, code, repeat” tagline at a Google developer conference as hostile to work-life balance.
- *The open-plan office is a terrible, horrible, no good, very bad idea*
 - You can probably guess.

So, what’s the point of attacking big tech?

37signals’s most popular commercial product is project management software called Basecamp. They explicitly market Basecamp to “smaller, hungrier businesses, not big, sluggish ones.”

By writing provocative blog posts, 37signals generated attention on sites like Hacker News, Twitter, and reddit. The posts earned credibility and respect from the small companies in their target market and fueled their growth for decades.

Chapter 6. Write Effective Design Documents (pending)

This chapter is still not yet complete. I'm planning to add more details about what goes into a design doc and how to structure the information well.

Writing a design document

Write an introduction that makes sense to everyone

Early in my career, I signed up for a mentorship program that matched me with a senior engineer at my company. He asked me to come to our first meeting with a design doc I'd written. As I handed it to him, I explained the background of the project and how it aligned with my team's goals. He frowned.

"Everything you just told me should be on the first page of your design doc," he said, bluntly.

He was right.

I wrote the design doc imagining how my teammates would read it, but I failed to consider other readers. There was a broader audience beyond my immediate team, including partner teams, mentors, and [promotion committees](#). They should all have been able to understand it as well.

When journalists write newspaper articles, they write in a style called "[the inverted pyramid](#)." A good news report begins with the details that interest a broad audience, then progressively narrows its focus to details that interest only the most engaged readers.




Figure 13. Journalists structure news reports in an inverted pyramid, where the information relevant to the most people is at the top.

The early sections of your design doc should make sense to anyone you expect to read your design, whether they're an expert in the codebase or a member of a partner team who's never seen a line of code in their life.

Start the design doc with your goals and the concrete scenarios your design doc addresses. Replace jargon terms with words that make sense to any potential reader.




Figure 14. Arrange your design doc so that the early sections makes sense to everyone you expect to read it. As you get further into the document, assume the audience is smaller and smaller as people stop reading things that are irrelevant to them.

As you get deeper into your document, you can assume the reader has more context, but keep your target audience in mind for each section. If you're designing a REST API for a partner team, they care about the API semantics and your reliability targets, but they probably won't read the sections about how you'll log diagnostic messages or store persistent data.

Invest effort in clear diagrams

Whenever I send a design doc out for review, about 50% of the feedback is about my diagrams. That's a good thing.

My reviewers aren't nitpicking my diagram's color scheme or choice of shapes; they're talking about my diagrams because they facilitate intelligent, constructive discussions about my architecture and design decisions. Don't skimp on your diagrams.

If you're not sure what belongs in a diagram, think about these questions:

- How do the different components of your system fit together?
- How does data flow through your system?
- How does your system interact with its dependencies and downstream clients?
- What are the distinct steps of a complex process or protocol?

Choose a diagramming tool that's flexible to editing. I've seen people draw beautiful whiteboard diagrams with colored markers, and then photograph it for their design doc. Their first draft looks amazing, but then they can never change it.

[Excalidraw](#), [Google Drawings](#), and [Mermaid](#) are all popular diagramming tools that facilitate iterative revisions. Link back to the source drawing to let your teammates adapt it in the future, if they need to.




Figure 15. Example Google Drawings diagram showing the architecture of a simple web application.

Reviewing a design document

You've spent weeks carefully writing a design document for your software project, but what happens next? How can you get useful feedback about it from your teammates? How do you prevent your design review from dragging on for months?

I've been through many design reviews in my career as both the author and reviewer, and I have a special fondness for effective reviews. Through trial and error, I've learned techniques that help the review process move smoothly and yield material improvements to the design.

Goals of an effective design review process

Identify design flaws

The most valuable outcome of a design review is to find design flaws or opportunities to simplify your system. Some design decisions are incredibly costly to change later, so it's best to catch these mistakes as early as possible.

For example, if you plan to store data in Google Cloud Firestore, a teammate might point out that SQLite satisfies your requirements with less complexity. That single piece of feedback can save you hundreds of hours over the project's lifetime ([ask me how I know](#)).

Get everyone on the same page

The system you're designing doesn't exist in a vacuum. Your project impacts other people on your team and in your company. A design review coordinates plans with your partners early in the process, when misalignments are easiest to fix.

Keep moving forward

One of the worst pitfalls of a design review is stalling out. When a review devolves into dozens of mini-fights, you get stuck arguing rather than improving your design. An effective design review keeps everyone moving forward rather than letting conflict consume the process.

Maximize value of your teammates' focus

Your teammates arrive at work each day with eight hours to allocate, but they can only spend a precious fraction of that time on deep, focused thought. Reviewing a design doc requires intense focus. Don't squander it by forcing your reviewers to look up jargon terms they don't recognize or to piece together context you should have provided.

Strengthen design skills across the team

Many engineers struggle to improve their high-level design skills because they don't get many opportunities to practice. Their day-to-day work is mainly chasing bugs and making incremental changes to the codebase.

Reviewing a design doc exercises the same muscles as writing one. It also gives teammates perspective about what makes a design doc easier or harder to review.

AI-assisted programming isn't going away anytime soon, but senior-level design continues to elude even the best AI assistants. In a world where LLMs take over more programming tasks, the most valuable developers will be the ones who can think about architecture from multiple abstraction layers, weigh competing engineering tradeoffs, and [specify behavior clearly](#).

Make it easy for reviewers to give you feedback

My first software job was at Microsoft in the late 2000s. They weren't sure about the whole "cloud" thing yet, so we gathered design feedback by emailing around dozens of variants of the same Word document with different reviewers' notes. If the document contained a broken link or an undefined term, the only way to fix it was by saving it as a new file and emailing it to everyone again.

Fortunately, in 2025, we have better tools for reviewing design docs. The most important features to look for are (from most important to least important):

1. Reviewers can write comments to you as they read the document.
2. The document has a single URL that remains consistent throughout the review process.
3. Reviewers can see the changes you've made to the document since their last review.

Google Docs and Office 365 Word are both popular, user-friendly options that comfortably achieve #1 and #2. They do #3 poorly, but well enough to get by.




Figure 16. Google Docs makes it easy for reviewers to leave comments in the margins of your design doc.

When my audience is exclusively developers, I prefer writing in Markdown and reviewing with my team's standard code review tools. All code review tools support #1 and #2. GitHub pull requests handle #3 poorly, but [CodeApprove](#), [Gerrit](#), and [Reviewable](#) all support it well.




Figure 17. CodeApprove makes it easy for reviewers to see all the changes to a file since their last review.

Give reviewers time to read independently

I’ve been in “design review” meetings where the author hands out a doc nobody has seen before and hastily reads excerpts while everyone else patiently listens.

That’s not a design review.

If you ambush your reviewers with a design doc and talk nonstop while they try to read it, they’re not going to be able to think critically and give you constructive feedback. Give your reviewers time to review your doc at their own pace when they have focus.

I give reviewers a minimum of two working days to review a design doc. I add more time depending on the complexity of the project and the number of other concurrent high-priority tasks.

Start with a single reviewer

When you finish the first draft of your design doc, you just want to get your doc approved as quickly as possible so you can start coding.

Resist the temptation to blast your doc out to everyone at once. Instead, start with a preliminary review from a single reviewer.

Your first draft almost certainly contains mistakes and logical gaps. If a reviewer has trouble understanding your design because your wording is unclear or you forgot to explain something, it’s better to fix that with a single reviewer than to let 10 people trip over the same mistake.



The other reason to get a preliminary review is to avoid the [bystander effect](#). When you send your design doc to 10 people, they might all assume they can give it a quick skim because somebody else will review it carefully. If you ask a specific person, they know you expect a thorough review.

I usually ask for a preliminary review from the person who has the most invested in my project. This can be someone who plans to implement the project with me, a potential client of the project, or the owner of an upstream dependency.

The preliminary review isn't to polish your doc to perfection. Just aim for a doc that makes sense. Focus on fixing your explanation rather than the design itself.

If your reviewer has suggestions for uncontroversial design improvements, go ahead and integrate them before sharing the doc with other teammates. Otherwise, leave the reviewer's design critiques as open issues to resolve during the wider team review.

Address feedback in the doc itself

When someone asks you a question about your doc or misunderstands something, resolve their confusion in the doc itself. Don't explain things "out of band" in comment threads, chat conversations, emails, or in-person conversations. Otherwise you haven't fixed anything; everyone else who reads the doc will have the same issue.




Figure 18. When reviewers ask questions about your doc, answer them by improving your doc so other readers don't have the same question.

If you really can't answer the question in the doc, talk it through with your reviewer, but always circle back to update your doc with whatever insight finally made the concept click. Ask your reviewer to confirm that the new wording reflects your out-of-band discussion.

Aggressively resolve comment threads

When I worked at Google, any time I tried to read a historical design doc, it was nearly impossible. Every page was covered in margin notes that contradicted the design. It was never clear whether the dev team implemented the original design or an idea from the margin discussion.




Figure 19. Every historical design doc I read at Google had dozens of margin threads with hundreds of comments, and it was never obvious which were still relevant.

As the design doc author, you're responsible for driving comment threads to resolution as quickly as possible. Do not leave dangling threads.

Respond to margin notes and mark the thread resolved if you're confident you addressed the note. If you're unsure, ask the reviewer. If they don't respond after a few days, mark the

thread as resolved.

If discussion remains open after two or three back-and-forths in the margin notes, move the discussion to an “Open Issues” section in the appendix. Explain the open issue by describing the different perspectives from the margin discussion as fairly as you can. Invite reviewers to leave additional feedback prefixed with their username or initials.

Open Issues

GraphQL vs. REST

GraphQL may not be the best interface to offer our clients. I see the following tradeoffs:

- Pros
 - Gives clients fine-grained control over what data to retrieve
 - Some of our upstream providers use GraphQL, so we're already paying the complexity cost of learning GraphQL
- Cons
 - GraphQL is more complex to learn than REST
 - Many of the devs on our team have no experiences with REST
 - **doggo** has had many negative experiences with GraphQL
 - Requires us to take on more third-party dependencies on both the client side and the server side

mtlynch: @doggo - Are there other considerations I missed?

doggo: A lot of the companies pushing GraphQL have massive, complex data, where if they published everything, they'd be serving 10 GB for every request. Even if we serve everything on every request, it's like 50 KB of data, which is well below what's significant for our clients. Even if that grows by an order of magnitude every two years, we'd have about a decade before the data gets to be significant in terms of our clients.

mtlynch: Size was a factor, but latency was, too. Some of our data is expensive to calculate. If we know based on the client's query that they don't care about RAM utilization history, for example, we can complete the request in 1/10th the time. With a REST API, we'd have to unconditionally retrieve that for every request even though 95% of clients don't actually care.

Figure 20. If margin discussions grow beyond two or three back-and-forths, add a dedicated section in the appendix to discuss the issue with your team. This gives you more room to discuss and eliminates noise in the main body of your doc.

Once you’ve summarized a comment thread with an open issue in the appendix, resolve the original thread and place a link to the open issue in the section where the discussion occurred.




Figure 21. When you move a discussion to an open issue appendix, include a link in the section of your doc that prompted the discussion.

If you resolve the open issue in a few more back-and-forths in the appendix, great! Summarize the rationale at the top, and update your doc to reflect the final decision.




Figure 22. When you resolve an open issue, summarize the decision at the top of the section.

If you're unable to resolve the open issue quickly, reserve it for a live discussion (see [below](#)).

Resolve contentious issues in a focused meeting

Many teams mistakenly schedule a meeting as the first step of a design review, but it should actually be the last step.

You should hold a meeting to resolve any outstanding issues that remain after everyone has had a chance to review the design document, propose alternative options, and understand the pros and cons of each proposal.

The point of this meeting is to resolve any remaining open issues and drive your design to approval. When you schedule the meeting, share a written agenda ahead of time that outlines the issues you're trying to resolve. Make sure attendees understand that the meeting is not an invitation to make last-minute objections to previously uncontroversial design decisions.




Figure 23. Share a written agenda ahead of the meeting that focuses the meeting specifically on outstanding open issues. Otherwise, attendees may think you're inviting them to comment on any part of the design.

Conduct a design postmortem

Postmortems are typically for disasters, but they're also useful for proactively improving processes even in the absence of catastrophe.

After you code a working implementation based on your design doc, conduct a blameless postmortem of the design process. By blameless, I mean that you focus on process rather than individual mistakes.

For example, if your launch date slipped by two weeks because Michael forgot to request servers ahead of time, the postmortem shouldn't ask, "Why is Michael so forgetful?" but rather, "How can we adjust our process to avoid depending on human memory?"

Here are some good questions to ask when gathering feedback for a postmortem:

- Which tasks took longer than expected?
- Which dependencies did not work as expected?
- Which details were overlooked at design time?
 - What process changes would reduce your risk of similar oversights in the future?
- Which tasks went smoothly?
 - What aspects of the design process contributed to their success?

I generally approach a design postmortem like this:

1. Create a list of things you think went well or poorly.
2. (Optional) If your team doesn't have experience with blameless postmortems, explain that it's an exercise to improve processes and not to point fingers.
 - Google's SRE book includes [a good introduction to blameless postmortems](#).

3. Email a questionnaire (like [Tally](#) or [Google Forms](#)) to teammates who participated in the design process.
 - Discourage any reply-all answers, as they bias subsequent responses and encourage [groupthink](#).
 - Answer your own questionnaire first to avoid bias from your teammates' answers.
4. Aggregate everyone's responses into a postmortem document.
 - Order the issues so that the most common responses and most severe issues appear first.
5. Send the postmortem document to your teammates.
6. Schedule a meeting to review the postmortem document.
7. In the meeting, go through each item in the postmortem and brainstorm ways to improve the design process in the future.

Here's an example of what an entry might look like in a design postmortem:

Issue: The DuckDB integration took six weeks, nearly 2x the initial estimate

Contributing factors

- We didn't realize at design time that there were no Objective-C bindings available for DuckDB, so we had to write a lot of custom glue code on top of the Swift API.
- Nobody on the team had experience with DuckDB. We estimated based on previous SQLite integrations, which turned out to not be predictive of our DuckDB work.
- We encountered more DuckDB bugs and gotchas than we expected.

Takeaways

- Consider language bindings when selecting a database technology.
- Weigh team inexperience with a technology more heavily in estimating timelines.
- Weigh the maturity of the technology more heavily in estimating timelines.

Summary

- An effective design review capitalizes on the work you invested in your design document and expands its benefits.
- Structure your design document so that early sections are intelligible to any reader you expect to see your doc.
- Use tools like Google Docs or Office 365 to make it easy for reviewers to leave you comments as they read your doc.
- Work hard to create clear diagrams, as they're the most effective way to communicate your design to reviewers.

- Give your reviewers time to read your design doc asynchronously so they can review it with their full attention.
- Work through major issues with a single reviewer before sharing the doc with the full team.
- Treat every question or misunderstanding as an opportunity to improve your design doc and eliminate the confusion for future readers.
- Quickly resolve comment threads, and integrate the outcome of the discussion into the doc itself.
- Use a dedicated meeting to resolve any contentious issues you can't resolve through text-based discussion.
- After you implement your design, look for opportunities to improve your design process based on the project's outcome.

Chapter 7. Write Useful Commit Messages

Effective commit messages simplify the code review process and aid long-term code maintenance. Unfortunately, commit messages don't get much respect, so the world is littered with useless messages like **Fix bug** or **Update UI**.

There's no widespread agreement about what makes a good commit message or why it's useful, but there are several techniques I've found to be useful from personal experience.

An example of a useful commit message

Here's an example of a useful, effective commit message:

Delete comments for a post when the user deletes the post

In change ``abcd123``, we enabled users to leave comments on a post, which we store in the ``post_comments`` table.

The problem was that when a user deletes their post, we don't delete the associated comments from the ``post_comments`` table. The orphaned rows have no practical use, but they're occupying space in our database and reducing performance.

This change ensures that we always atomically delete a post's comments at the same time we delete the post itself by making the following changes to our database code:

- Updates the ``DeletePost`` function to also delete comments in the same database transaction.
- Adds a database migration to delete orphaned rows we added to ``post_comments`` prior to this change.
- Adds a ``FOREIGN KEY`` constraint to the ``post_comments`` table so that we'll hit a database error if we ever accidentally delete a post without deleting its associated comments.

Fixes #1234

This commit message is helpful for a few reasons:

- It presents the most important information early.

- It explains the motivation and effect of the change rather than just summarizing implementation details.
- It's succinct and excludes useless noise.
- It cross-references related bugs and commit hashes.

What's the point of a commit message?

A commit message serves several roles, which I've listed below from most important to least important:

Helps your code reviewer approach the change

When you send your code out for peer review, the commit message is the first thing your reviewer sees.

The code review is the most important scenario for a commit message, as effective communication at the review stage can prevent bugs or maintenance pitfalls before your code reaches production.

Communicates changes to teammates, downstream clients, and end-users

Beyond your reviewer, other people on your team want to understand if your changes impact their work.

If you're working on an open-source codebase or a project with downstream clients, your commit messages also inform your clients and end-users about how your change impacts them.

Facilitates future bug investigations

After you merge your change, your code will live in the codebase for years or maybe even decades. Developers frequently review the commit history to diagnose bugs and to understand the software, so a clear commit message speeds their investigations.

Provides information to development tools

Many development tools scrape information from commit messages to automate software development chores, such as cross-referencing bugs or generating release notes.

Organizing information in a commit message

Put the most important information first

In a long commit message, most readers don't want to read the entire thing, so put the most important information at the beginning. This allows the reader to stop reading as soon as they reach the information that's relevant to them.

Journalists call this writing style [the inverted pyramid](#). A good news report begins with the details that readers care about most. As the article progresses, the focus shifts toward details that are relevant only to the most interested readers.



Figure 24. Journalists structure news reports in an inverted pyramid, where the information relevant to the most people is at the top.

Use headings to structure long commit messages

Headings create structure in a long commit message, making it easier for the reader to find information relevant to them:

GOOD: *Use headings to structure long commit messages.*

Respond with HTTP 400 if book title contains HTML tags

With this change, we completely reject requests where the book title contains any HTML tags.

Background

We have never allowed HTML in book titles, and our documentation says titles must contain only alphanumeric characters. Prior to this change, we tolerated clients embedding HTML in their book titles because we'd strip out the HTML server-side.

Motivation

In bug [#1234](#), a user abused our sanitization so that sanitizing the title would actually

create a `<script>` tag that the attacker used to inject malicious JavaScript. We fixed that in `abcd123`, but then a few months later, in `#4321`, an attacker found a way to inject code through the `onload` attribute.

There is no valid reason for a client to include HTML tags in the title, so if we're seeing them, it's either a misbehaving client or a malicious user.

Alternative 1 - CSP: Poor library compatibility

The other way we can handle this is with Content Security Policy (CSP), which would prevent inline JavaScript. The problem is that it would break too many of our existing libraries.

Alternative 2 - Output encoding: Error-prone

We could also rely on output encoding so that we always render the HTML as text characters as opposed to HTML. We'd have to get that right 100% of the time we display the title or strings that include the title, so it's too risky.

What should the commit message include?

For a simple change, a one-line commit message could be sufficient. The more complex the change, the more detail the commit message needs.

The following is a mostly-exhaustive list of details that could be useful in a commit message.


A descriptive title

The first line is the most important part of the commit message because it's what appears in the commit history of most git UIs.

When you print the commit summary using `git log --oneline`, it prints the first line of each commit message:

```
$ git log --oneline
fd8902a (HEAD) Combine tests in reviews_test (#421)
32dbf9a Log error information on account handler errors (#420)
dea3e7a Stop using npm scripts to check frontend (#418)
20ec3c6 Upgrade to sqlfluff 3.3.0 (#417)
4383920 Make prettier ignore .direnv directory (#416)
cacf31b Make Nix version of Go match Docker version (#414)
c6489bf Lint SQL in pre-commit hook (#415)
```

GitHub and other git UIs also show the first line of each commit message prominently in the change history:



Your teammates and users can't read every line of every change to the codebase, so the title is the primary way that you communicate whether the change is relevant to them.

The title should describe the effect of the change rather than how you implemented it. That is, the "what" rather than the "why" or "how."

In a change where you add a mutex to prevent a concurrency bug, this would be a poor title:

BAD: *Use the title to highlight implementation details.*

Add a mutex to guard the database handle

A better title explains the effect of the change. What's different about the application now that there's a mutex?

GOOD: *Use the title to explain the effect of the change.*

Prevent database corruption during simultaneous sign-ups

A summary of how the change impacts clients and end-users

Usually, you can't capture all of the relevant details about a change in the title alone, so the subsequent lines of the commit message should fill the gaps.

Keep in mind that, for some audiences, their goal is to understand how the change impacts them without having to read the code itself. It could be because they're an end-user who can't understand software code, or they might just be a busy developer who doesn't have time to read every diff.

Ensure that the commit message explains the relevant details of the change even for someone who won't read the diff itself.

The motivation for the change

After you communicate what the change does, the most important thing to communicate is "why?"

Why are you making this change? How does your change align with the team's goals? What considerations led you to this particular solution?

Consider this example:

BAD: *Omit the motivation for the change.*

Change background from blue to pink

This updates the CSS so that the application's default background is pink, when previously it was blue.


If a year from now, your teammate wonders why the background is pink, they'd read the above commit message and get zero useful information.

Instead, explain the motivation for the change in the commit message:

GOOD: *Explain the motivation and constraints that influenced the change.*

Change background from blue to pink

Our current blue background makes links difficult to see:



This changes our background to pink because I think that matches our app's personality, and it makes the links more legible:

Why not use a third-party test assertion library?

If you're a devout user of a third-party testing library like `testify` or `is`, this post probably sounds ridiculous. Those libraries offer both expressive test output and clear assertions, so why don't I use them?

The above explanation makes the motivation and reasoning clear. If another developer wants to change the background in the future, they'll understand the constraints that guided the decision to make the background pink.

Sometimes, a change's motivation depends on future plans. You may have a grand vision for how a change is just step one of a beautiful new architecture, but your teammate can't read your mind to see it.

When you send a commit out for review, use the commit message to communicate how the change fits into any larger designs you have in mind.

Breaking changes

If downstream clients have to rewrite code or change their workflows as a result of the change, the commit message should explain what's changing and how clients should handle it.

Use recognizable conventions to make it easy for clients to identify breaking changes. Ensure that your process for creating release announcements scans the commit history to surface details about breaking changes.

GOOD: *Call out breaking changes with a recognizable convention.*

Require a signed hash on all requests

To prevent malicious clients from abusing the server, we're now requiring signed hashes on all requests so that the server can authenticate them as valid.

Breaking change

Clients using v2 libraries will no longer be able to access the server. They will need to switch to a v3 or later client implementation.

External references

Link to any external documentation or blog posts that influenced your design or implementation choices.

You shouldn't dump your entire browsing history into the commit message (for many reasons), but you should link to the non-obvious resources that will help your teammates understand your thinking.


BAD: *Link to API documentation your teammates can find trivially.*

This change calls the `database/sql` library, which is documented on the Go docs site:

- <https://pkg.go.dev/database/sql>

GOOD: *Link to a resource that inspired your choices.*

I chose the `zombiezen/go-sqlite` SQLite driver, as it outperforms other implementations in high-concurrency scenarios:



<https://github.com/cvilsmeier/go-sqlite-bench/blob/4df8bfd25ea4a0b8fc9460104e7ffb1f6d20cc1a/README.md#concurrent>

Justifications for new dependencies

If a change adds a new third-party dependency, flag it in the commit message, and explain why you're adding it.

Dependencies are a long-term maintenance burden and a frequent source of bugs. It's helpful for your teammates to understand why you're adding the dependency and how you selected it.




If you're a JavaScript developer, ignore this note, and continue adding 12 new npm package dependencies in each of your commits.

Cross-references to issues or other changes

On most git hosting platforms like GitHub, GitLab, and Codeberg, mentioning an issue by ID like `#1234` automatically creates a cross-reference between the commit and the issue. Similarly, mentioning another change by pull request ID or commit hash creates a cross-

reference.



There are also auto-closing keywords like **Fixes** or **Resolves**. When a commit message includes text like **Fixes #1234**, GitHub, GitLab, and Codeberg all know to auto-close issue #1234 when you merge the change.

Creating cross-references to related issues and other changes helps teammates and future maintainers understand the context around the change.

Summaries of bugs or external references

When you link to a bug or an external reference, don't just write **Fixes #1234** and expect readers to read the entire ticket discussion, especially when the bug has a long, complex history. Summarize the relevant details for the reader.

BAD: *Force the reader to dig through a complex external reference.*

Show error instead of blank screen after login

Fixes #1234

GOOD: *Summarize the details of a bug that are relevant to its fix.*

Warn user if they have a malicious Firefox extension

This change adds a check for the BreakRandomWebsites Firefox extension and warns the user on page load when we detect it.

Background

In #1234, a user reported that they got a blank screen after logging in on Firefox, but it worked fine on Safari.

It turned out that the user had the BreakRandomWebsites Firefox extension installed,

which breaks our app, so we need a way to surface this information to the user more obviously.

Fixes #1234

Testing instructions

Ideally, automated tests should exercise your changes, but if those don't exist, explain to your reviewer how to test your code.

GOOD: *Explain to your reviewer how to test your changes.*

To test the new behavior:

1. Populate the testing database with 400 users: `./scripts/populate-store --count 400`
2. Run the server in dev mode: `PORT=9000 TESTING=1 ./server`
3. Open the login page in the browser: `http://localhost:9000/login`
4. Log in as user `admin / admin`
5. Under "Metrics" click "Delete All"
6. Reload to see the server automatically repopulate the metrics tables

Testing limitations

The default expectation is that you tested your commit to your team's normal standards before sending it for review or merging it in the codebase.

In some cases, it's impractical to test a change in all the relevant scenarios. If that happens, disclose what scenarios you haven't tested. It will help your reviewer assess the risk of the change, and it will provide an answer when future maintainers ask, "Did this code *ever* work correctly?"

GOOD: *Explain testing limitations.*

I don't have a bare-metal RISC-V machine to test this on, but I emulated RISC-V on my AMD64 dev system using qemu, and it worked as expected.

```
./dev-scripts/run-tests --qemu-emulate riscv64
```

What you learned

Use the commit message to capture what you learned while implementing the change.

You'll be glad you wrote it down while it's fresh in your mind, and your teammates will be thankful that you spared them all from tracking down the same information.

You don't have to be an expert on whatever you're explaining — admit freely what you don't understand. You'll be surprised at how often your explanations help teammates you assumed knew everything.

BAD: *Force the reader to rediscover everything you had to learn.*

Fix a bash bug in the benchmarking script

This fixes a bug in the benchmarking script related to pipe characters. See the pipelines section of the bash manual for more details:

https://www.gnu.org/software/bash/manual/html_node/Pipelines.html

GOOD: *Explain what you learned from making a change.*

Measure execution time more accurately in the benchmarking script

This fixes a bug in our benchmarking script that caused us to underestimate `evm`'s performance on our benchmarks.

evm has an internal performance timer that starts as soon as the program begins, but our benchmarking script had a bug that started `evm`'s timer before it could begin working, which made our benchmarks higher (worse) than they were in reality.

How bash pipelines actually work

I had a mistaken mental model that bash pipelines execute each process serially.

For example, consider this pipeline:

```
./jobA | ./jobB
```

I thought that in the pipeline above, **jobA** would run to completion, then the script would start **jobB** with `jobA`'s standard output as its standard input.

It turns out that what bash actually does is start both **jobA** and **jobB** simultaneously. **jobB** just blocks on input until **jobA** writes to standard output.

In retrospect, this makes total sense because I've seen plenty of pipelines where the

second process begins processing output before the first process terminates, but I always modeled the pipeline incorrectly in my head.

One neat side effect of documenting your lesson is that the act of explaining it deepens your understanding. Sometimes, explaining your solution makes you realize there's a better alternative you didn't explore or a corner case you forgot to cover.

Alternative solutions you considered

Often, you begin a change with a naïve solution and find some reason that it doesn't work. When that happens, help your reviewer and future readers understand why the obvious solution didn't work.

Ideally, the explanation should live in a comment within the code itself rather than in the commit message. Otherwise, everyone who reads the code will wonder why it's doing the non-obvious thing.

BAD: *Explain gotchas in the commit message that belong in the code itself.*

I tried deleting the `time.sleep()` call, but that caused a deadlock between the renderer and the scheduler.

If it's a decision that doesn't have a logical place in the code, explain it in the commit message.

GOOD: *Explain failed approaches that the code can't express.*

I originally tried to use `std.xml.Parser`, but it doesn't include line-level metadata, which we need for printing error messages.

Searchable artifacts

If a change is related to a unique error message, make sure that the text of the error appears in either the commit message or in a bug that the commit cross-references.

Including the error message ensures that if you encounter that error in the future, you can use `git log --grep` or the search function of your git hosting tool to find past work related to the error.

```
$ git log --pretty=format:"%s%n%n%b" --grep "reading 'parentNode'"
Fix unclosed div in index.html
```

On about 30% of builds, the build was failing with this error message:

```
Cannot read properties of null (reading 'parentNode')
```

It turned out to be caused by an unclosed div `in` one of our files.

Beyond error messages, think about other terms that you or a teammate might search to find related commits, such as names of components, projects, or client implementations.

Screenshots or videos

For changes to an app's user interface, videos and screenshots can be helpful, especially if you show the before and after.

Don't spend hours trying to produce a perfect, Oscar-winning screencast — a simple 15-second demo can make a major difference for your teammates trying to understand the change.

A video should supplement the commit message, not replace it. A rambling, five-minute screencast is a poor substitute for a succinct and well-organized commit message.

The drawback of embedded media is that git doesn't support it natively. If you use git through a platform like GitHub or GitLab, it's easy to embed images in pull request descriptions, which you can subsequently convert to be the commit message for the change.

If you don't use a UI for git that supports embedded media, you can still upload your media to permanent storage and link it as a plain URL in your commit message, though that increases the risk of links going dead if the storage location goes offline or moves. In these cases, it makes more sense to share the screenshots or video in a review comment rather than in the commit message.

Rants and stories

Stories and passionate rants about the code are fun and sometimes informative, so feel free to include them, but save them until the end.

If someone's chasing a high-priority bug at 2 AM, they don't want to read 20 paragraphs about your adventure writing this change or your snarky critiques of a bad library.

BAD: *Bury critical information in a long rant.*

Tame the wicked beast that is the load balancer

Gather friends, as I tell you a tale about my glorious fight with the dastardly foe that we know and love: the load balancer.

It all started back in 1983, when I was a freshman at Diltmore College...

\[50 paragraphs later\]

When I woke up, my tonsils were missing, and cuddled next to me in bed were 15 stray puppies that I had no memory of adopting.

A sensation in my hand caused me to look down. I was holding a piece of paper smeared with what was unmistakably McDonald's barbecue sauce. Looking closer, I realized the sauce spelled out a single word: goggle.

I snapped open my laptop and ran `grep -i goggle ./src`. Everything suddenly made sense.

There was a typo in our config file that was causing us to ping goggle.com rather than google.com, so this change fixes the typo.

Present critical details succinctly at the top of the commit message, and append the rant to make it obviously extra credit reading.

GOOD: *Present important details first and defer rants until the end.*

Fix google.com typo in the load balancer's connectivity check

Due to a typo introduced in `abc1234`, the load balancer was checking for a live HTTP server at `goggle.com` rather than the intended `google.com`.

This fixes the typo so that we send HTTP requests to `google.com` to verify that the load balancer has Internet connectivity.

Rant (just for fun)

Gather friends, as I tell you a tale about my glorious fight with the dastardly foe that we know and love: the load balancer...

Anything you're tempted to explain outside of the commit message

Sometimes, when someone sends me code to review, they write me an accompanying email to explain background information. If I'm particularly unlucky, they'll interrupt me at my desk to give me a brief lecture that I'm supposed to remember while reviewing their code.

In these cases, I gently tell my teammate that we actually already have a great place to share this information with me: the commit message!

Resist the temptation to explain details about your change outside of the commit message or the code itself. If you need to set the stage before a review for your reviewer, do it in the commit message. That way, your reviewer will see it, and so will anyone else who ever needs that information as well.

What should the commit description leave out?

It's better to err on the side of overcommunicating in a commit message, but there's also value in reducing noise. Look for opportunities to cut unnecessary information wherever possible.

Information that's obvious from the code

Avoid mentioning facts in the commit message that the code makes plainly obvious. Examples include:

- A list of files you edited
- What APIs you called
- Whether it's a large or a small change

The reader will trivially learn those details when they look at the code, so you don't have to spell it out for them.

Critical details about maintaining the code

You should capture crucial details about maintaining the code, but they're too important to bury in the commit message. Future maintainers might not think to check there when making changes.

If your teammates need to know something about the code, put the information in the code itself, ideally with automated checks to prevent anyone from violating the code's assumptions.

BAD: *Bury critical maintenance information in the commit message.*

In `disk.c` the offset is `32`, but in `file.c`, the offset is `16`.

The 2:1 ratio is critical, so, if we ever change one of these values in the future, we have to maintain the ratio. Otherwise, the server will silently corrupt all user data, including our offsite backups.

I haven't documented this in the code, as I assume all future maintainers will always follow the blame history to this commit message before editing either of the two files.

Short-term discussion

Consider whether the details you're adding to the commit message are useful to keep in the source history forever or if they're just ephemeral discussion that's useful right now.

If the information is only relevant during the code review, add it as a comment in the discussion for the pull request or bug rather than including it in the commit message forever.

BAD: *Include chatter in the commit message.*

 **@mtlynch** - Can you look at this and tell me if I've gone bonkers?

Preview URLs and build artifacts

If your continuous integration system produces preview URLs or build artifacts that are useful to your reviewers, they should be easy for the reviewer to access, but it shouldn't be the author's job to add them to the commit message manually. Invest in tooling that automatically surfaces the information during the code review.

The more toil you add to the commit message, the more people will perceive it as a mechanical chore rather than an opportunity to communicate useful information.

Consider the lifetime of the build artifacts. If they'll only remain available for a few weeks, then links to build artifacts become distracting noise in the commit message. They should live in the discussion channel rather than the commit message itself.

Chapter 8. Write Emails with Less Noise and Better Results

Effective emails have tremendous value. They save time, reduce misunderstandings, and earn you recognition within your company.

You can drastically improve your emails with a few simple techniques, but too few developers know about them.

What's an effective email?

An effective email has four key qualities:

1. **Clear:** Recipients understand what you're telling them without additional clarification.
2. **Relevant:** Recipients quickly recognize why the information is relevant to them.
3. **Efficient:** You and your recipients achieve your goals while minimizing everyone's time reading and responding to the email thread.
4. **Succinct:** The email maintains a high signal-to-noise ratio.

Deliver the most important information first

When you see a co-worker in person, you typically don't blurt out the most important thing on your mind before even saying hello, but that's the right thing to do in an email.

Your co-workers receive hundreds of emails per day. They don't have time to read every email in full, so they skim their inbox to find what's relevant to them.

To ensure that your recipients see the information they need, present the most important information first.

As an exercise, here's an intentionally boring and long-winded email. Give it a quick skim to

spot anything worth reading:

Subject: A surprise discovery
To: hamsterchat-team@example.com, devops@example.com

Hi All,

I was playing checkers with my dog, Sgt. Francisco, when I noticed that his ID tag had fallen off his collar. It may have been gone for months.

It made me wonder what other safety measures I might be taking for granted. So, I started thinking about HamsterChat's data backups.

On a hunch, I went to our data center last night at around 8 PM to test my theory. I talked to Tony, the admin there, and he set me up with a crash cart at our server. Sure enough, every backup snapshot I tested was corrupt and unrecoverable. I was able to isolate the problem to a faulty RAM stick. Boy, was that a surprise! Tony had never seen anything like it.

Goes to show that thinking about adjacent problems is worthwhile!

Sincerely,
William Hamsterton-Chatsworth

Unless you studied the above email carefully, you probably missed the most important detail: **all the backups are gone.**

For important emails, start with a one-line summary or bullet points before you even get to "Hello." After the summary, present the supporting details in descending order of importance.

Here's the previous email, rewritten with the most important information first:

Subject: Failure in HamsterChat backups - all backups lost
To: hamsterchat-team@example.com, devops@example.com

tl;dr: All historical HamsterChat backups are corrupt and unusable. Backups are healthy again starting today.

Hi All,

Due to a hardware failure in our storage server, all of our HamsterChat backups are corrupt. I've replaced the faulty hardware and verified that backup and restore

functionality is healthy.

I'm working with our DevOps team to automate a weekly backup and restore test. If anything corrupts our backups in the future, we'll catch it within a week rather than stumbling across the issue months later by chance.

From digging into the logs and running diagnostics, I isolated the cause to a faulty RAM stick in our storage server. It appears that it was silently flipping bits on disk writes, so the filesystem thought the write succeeded, but the data that landed on the disk was corrupt.

After replacing the RAM stick, I took a backup and successfully restored it to a fresh disk. This strongly supports my hypothesis that the faulty RAM stick was to blame.

Sincerely,
William Hamsterton-Chatsworth

Some organizations call this style of writing “**bottom-line, up front**” (BLUF). Journalists call this “**the inverted pyramid**” because the information becomes relevant to a smaller and smaller audience as you get deeper into the text.





Figure 25. Journalists structure news reports in an inverted pyramid, where the information relevant to the most people is at the top.

Note how the information in the email above matches the inverted pyramid style:



Details from the original email about the dog and the data center admin no longer appear in the revised email. Those details were irrelevant, so cutting them maintains a high signal-to-noise ratio, making it easier for recipients to capture important details.

Write descriptive subject lines

In a crowded inbox, the subject line should tell your recipient why your email is relevant to them.

Here are some terrible subject lines that would be easy for the recipient to overlook:

- question
- Update
- (no subject)

Instead, use the subject line to explain the goal of the email:

- Integrating htmx into HamsterChat
- Design Review: SQLite to Postgres migration plan

For emails that require action or are time-sensitive, I add a prefix to the subject line in brackets to catch the reader's attention:

- [Action required] Rotate your HamsterChat client API tokens
- [Urgent] Ice cream party in microkitchen NOW

Use the **Markdown Here** browser extension

Markdown Here is a [free, open-source browser extension](#) that lets you write emails in Markdown.

As a software developer, my emails frequently contain code snippets and inline code. Markdown Here makes it easy to format code clearly and add simple formatting right from the keyboard.

I compose emails in Markdown like this:

ML Michael Lynch Last saved: 10:21 AM
Michael Lynch <*@refactoringenglish.com> ▾ Hide Cc Hide Bcc More ▾

From Michael Lynch <michael@refactoringenglish.com>

To Joe Example <joe@example.com>

Cc

Bcc

Subject Fixing send_request function

Attach ▾

B I U S F T A [color] [background] [text] [link] [unlink] [list] [list] [quote] [quote] More ▾

The fix

You need to set the `target` parameter like this:

```
python
def send_request(payload):
    conn = create_connection()
    return conn.send(payload, target="https://example.com/api/v2")

```

This is **very** easy to overlook.

Then, I hit **Ctrl+Alt+M**, and Markdown Here turns my email into this:

Can you compile an out-of-band ARM build for them?

Subject: Custom build for Optimoji
To: pm-team@example.com; dev-team@example.com

Which version of ARM?

Subject: Custom build for Optimoji
To: pm-team@example.com; dev-team@example.com

I'm not sure. They're running Ampere Altra Max machines.

Subject: Custom build for Optimoji
To: pm-team@example.com; dev-team@example.com

Okay, Ampere Altra Max is ARM v8.2a.

Do you want the Pro or Enterprise version for them?

Subject: Custom build for Optimoji
To: pm-team@example.com; dev-team@example.com

Enterprise.

Subject: Custom build for Optimoji
To: pm-team@example.com; dev-team@example.com

Do they need the multi-language extension, or is it just **en-US**?

Subject: Custom build for Optimoji
To: pm-team@example.com; dev-team@example.com

English only.

Subject: Custom build for Optimoji
To: pm-team@example.com; dev-team@example.com

Okay, here you go.

Had either participant in the thread put more care into their emails, they would have recognized that they were omitting critical details or failing to solicit them.

The thread above is eight emails, but let's assume that there were 10 people on the thread. That's now 80 emails, meaning this trivial thread caused 80 collective context switches for employees across this company.

Had the participants instead optimized for complete replies, they could have resolved this thread in two emails:

Subject: Custom build for Optimoji
To: pm-team@example.com; dev-team@example.com

Optimoji agreed to participate in our HamsterChat beta, but they only have ARM servers (Ampere Altra Max).

Can you do a custom build for them with these properties?

- Architecture: ARM
- Tier: Enterprise
- Language: en-US only

Subject: Custom build for Optimoji
To: pm-team@example.com; dev-team@example.com

Sure, see attached.

Ampere Altra Max is ARMv8, so I added that to our build matrix for the next release.

If they need updates in the future, they can download our standard release builds as long as they choose ARMv8 flavor.

Cal Newport describes this style of managing email as [process-centric email](#). Newport recommends treating every email thread as a problem the recipients must solve. The goal is to create a process for solving that problem in as few emails as possible.

Split threads and curate recipients

Sometimes an email thread drifts beyond its original scope. The subject line no longer matches the discussion, and the recipients are no longer the right audience.

When an email's scope changes, actively split the thread to eliminate noise:

1. Update the subject line to match the new scope of the discussion.
2. Move any recipients to bcc if the thread is no longer relevant to them.
3. Add any new recipients for whom the thread is relevant.
4. Briefly explain the context of the thread for the people you added.

As a concrete example, imagine that you start an email thread to review a design document:

Subject: HamsterChat v2 design review
To: architecture-astronauts@example.com; hamsterchat-dev@example.com

Hi All,

The HamsterChat v2 design document is now ready for review:

<https://example.com/docs/hamsterchat-v2-spec/edit>

-William

Everyone signs off on the design, but someone suggests looping in the infrastructure team to coordinate hardware resources.

The anti-pattern at this stage would be to add the infrastructure team to the thread and ask them a vague question:

Subject: HamsterChat v2 design review
To: **infrastructure@example.com**
Cc: hamsterchat-dev@example.com; architecture-astronauts@example.com

Infrastructure folks - can you weigh in here?

What's wrong with that email?

A lot, actually:

1. You're forcing the infrastructure team to dig through the thread to understand what you're asking them.
2. The subject line is still "HamsterChat design review," even though it's no longer a design review.
3. You're dragging along a bunch of people from the design review who don't care about coordinating hardware resources, so the email is now a pure distraction to them.

You can solve all of those problems by splitting the thread:

Subject: HamsterChat Q3 infra resources WAS: HamsterChat v2 design review
To: infrastructure@example.com
Cc: hamsterchat-dev@example.com
Bcc: architecture-astronauts@example.com

[adding infrastructure@, moving architecture-astronauts@ to bcc]

Hi Infrastructure Folks,

We're planning to introduce a new version of HamsterChat in Q3 (see thread below).

The new service will require at least two 4-vCPU application servers and one 2-vCPU database server for testing. Will the infrastructure team be able to provide that by Q3?

-William

Create structure with headings and paragraph breaks

When talented speakers give presentations, they write every slide with care. They break up their talking points into small, digestible chunks, and they choose descriptive yet succinct headings.

When you're writing a long email, think about how you'd present it as a slide deck. Focus each paragraph around a single idea. When in doubt, err on the side of shorter sentences and paragraphs. If your emails begin to look like LinkedIn clickbait, that's too short.

Subject: Severe HamsterChat outage - all regions affected
To: all-staff@example.com

Have you ever noticed that our website is unreachable?

I noticed.

And once I saw it, I couldn't unsee it.

The cause? A stray curly brace in our nginx config.

Click below  for five unbeatable strategies to prevent outages.

For emails longer than about five paragraphs, use headings to show readers the structure of your email.

Subject: HamsterChat rollout plan

To: all-staff@example.com

We are still on track for **the HamsterChat global release on Wednesday, July 16th.**

There are many delicate pieces to this launch, so I've summarized them below to ensure that we're all on the same page.

Release announcement

We'll publish the release announcement to our blog on Wednesday at 12:01am ET.

We got a lot of great tips from [the release announcements chapter in *Refactoring English*](#), so we anticipate 10+ million visitors within the first few minutes.

Product Hunt launch

HamsterChat will launch on Product Hunt on Wednesday at 3:01am ET.

We purchased Product Hunt's Authentic Indie Platinum package, which guarantees that HamsterChat finishes the day in the #1 spot from organic votes.

We anticipate the visibility on PH to bring in as many as four (4) unique visitors.

TechCrunch interview

...

Section headings are also helpful when your email has multiple audiences who care about different subsets of your email. When you're emailing multiple teams, headings allow readers to zero in on the parts of your email that matter to them.

Edit ruthlessly to eliminate noise

Writing a long, thorough email can make you feel diligent and helpful. After all, how could extra information hurt?

The problem is that the longer your email, the less likely your recipients are to read it. Every detail you add is another detail your recipients have to read, multiplied by the number of recipients. Every line in an email imposes a cost on the reader, so it must deliver enough value to earn its keep.

I have made this [letter] longer than usual because I have not had time to make it shorter.

—Blaise Pascal, 1657

Trim and edit your emails to minimize extraneous details. Maintain a high signal-to-noise ratio so recipients feel like they're getting good value for the time they spend reading your message.

- Use bullet points when full sentences are unnecessary.
- Cut lines and paragraphs that offer too little value relative to their cost.
- Link to external documents rather than pulling information into the thread.
- Split threads to ensure each group of recipients sees only what's relevant to them.

Make action items explicit

Even if you make a clear request of your recipients, they might misunderstand the request or expect someone else to do it. [Passive voice](#) makes miscommunications around action items even more likely.

Here's an example of an email where the sender thinks they're making clear requests but the recipients won't understand them:

Subject: Poor HamsterChat web rendering on mobile
To: developers@example.com, testers@example.com, designers@example.com

My sister sent me a photo that was 1600px wide, and it completely scrambled the HamsterChat UI on my phone. We need to update this before the public rollout because users are going to want to send high-res images over HamsterChat.

We should also cover high-res photos in our test suite to make sure this doesn't regress in the future.

Who's supposed to take action here? Should the designers work on a UI redesign? Should the testers reproduce the bug?

Even if an email explicitly says who should do what, it's possible for readers to miss those details, especially if they're skimming a long email.

When assigning tasks in an email, make the action items explicit, clear, and obvious. I put action items at the end of an email with a dedicated heading:

Action items

- **Lana** - Update the chat UI to constrain images to the viewport width.
- **Cyril** - Update our test suite to include messages with high-res images.

Explain requests in terms of the recipients' interests

When you're making a request of someone, it's tempting to just explain what you want and why it's important to you. You'll get better results if you frame your request in terms of your recipient's interests rather than your own.

For example, here's a message that requests work from a partner team, but it's entirely from the perspective of the sender:

Subject: Please offer an API for users table

Hi User Management Team,

Please add a real-time API for accessing user information. You're currently providing weekly database dumps, but I need more up-to-date information. I've had to request out-of-band data dumps three times per month for the last six months. These requests are a tedious, time-consuming part of my workflow that I'd like to eliminate.

Can you let me know what your timeline will be for adding an API?

-Michael

The email is, "me, me, me." The only justification for why the team should comply with the request is that it's convenient for me.

Instead of thinking solely of what you want, consider how your request might benefit your recipient. Here's a rewrite of the previous email that presents the request in terms of the partner team:

Subject: A user data API to streamline data sharing

Hi User Management Team,

I'd like to propose a REST API for user data. I believe it could drastically reduce your overhead in servicing downstream clients.

My team is consuming user data through your weekly database dumps, but we've had to request out-of-band updates at least three times per month for the last six months. Those updates require a lot of hands-on coordination from your engineers and force you to offline the database for several hours.

An alternative approach would be for your team to offer a REST API for user data. There's obviously an upfront cost to implementing the API, but it would reduce long-term maintenance for you.

A REST API would also drastically lighten the load on your infrastructure. Doing a database dump requires weekly maintenance windows, but a REST API would eliminate those. We consume full database dumps now because that's the only way to access the data, but we typically only read 5% of table rows each week, so the API would reduce our load on your storage servers by 20x.

-Michael

The goal isn't to *trick* your recipient. You're not Huck Finn hornswoggling your friend into painting a fence. Instead, you're approaching the recipient as a collaborator rather than an adversary you have to grind down.

Recognize when an email should become a meeting

There's a common work cliché where you walk out of an hourlong, 12-person meeting and realize a two-paragraph email could have achieved the same thing. The converse is also true. Sometimes, a 90-message email thread with 20 participants could have been a 30-minute meeting between three team leads.

Live meetings really shine in situations where low-latency discussion is important. For example, if you're requesting a review of your design document, it's a waste of time to call a meeting to narrate the document to your teammates. If you've received a round or two of

feedback, and there are still open issues to discuss, schedule a meeting to resolve those.

Live meetings are also the right medium for tense discussions and difficult feedback. With email, you lose a lot of the nuance of human communication. You can't see if you're making a teammate upset, and they can't hear if your tone is friendly or mocking.

When people start getting frustrated or defensive in an email thread, switch to a live discussion to ease tensions. I find it incredibly easy to hate people over email, but speaking to them in person or on video reminds me that they're just a human being trying their best.

Summary

- Effective emails are clear, relevant, efficient, and succinct.
- Structure emails to deliver the most important information first, potentially with one-line summaries or bullet points.
- Use descriptive subject lines to make the email's relevance clear to your recipient.
- Use the Markdown Here browser extension to format your emails beautifully.
- Replying quickly is less important than minimizing the number of emails in a thread.
- Update the subject line and recipients when a thread's scope drifts from its original purpose.
- Edit your emails down to the essential information.
- Use headings and paragraph breaks to help recipients understand the structure of your emails.
- Explicitly spell out the action items you expect your recipients to take.
- Present requests in terms of your recipient's interests.
- Schedule a live meeting for low-latency discussion or to discuss delicate issues.

Chapter 9. Write Compelling Software Release Announcements

A release announcement showcases how the user's experience is better today than it was yesterday. That sounds obvious, but most release announcements forget that there's a user at all.

So many release announcements just enumerate new features in a way that's totally divorced from how real people use the software. The announcement is essentially just a changelog with better writing.

For example, here's a "changelog" style of announcing a new feature:

BAD: *Describe what the dev team did rather than how it benefits the user.*

- Added "repeat" button to the event menu.

Don't just tell the user that there's a new button. Tell the user what they can do with that button.

GOOD: *Describe how changes benefit the user.*

Create recurring events automatically

In previous versions, the only way you could create weekly or monthly events was to duplicate events manually for every occurrence. Duplicating events this way was tedious and error-prone.

Our latest release allows you to create recurring events automatically. Click "Options > Repeat", and you'll find options to repeat on any schedule you want. You can even sync with your company's holiday schedule to automatically skip dates that fall on a holiday.


Note that the example doesn't boast about what the software can do. It tells the user what they can do with the software. It speaks directly to the user, describing what happens when "you" create events.



*** <i>Chez Développeuse</i>	
ENTREES	
BAKED DOUGH, TOPPED WITH TOMATO PUREE AND COW SECRETIONS	\$47
12 OZ SLAB OF COW CARCASS, HEATED FOR 8 MINUTES	\$78
SEVERED BIRD ARMS, DUNKED INTO BOILING TANK OF GREASE	\$26
EXTRUDED WHEAT PASTE, BOILED, TOPPED WITH TOMATO-RELATED LIQUID	\$33
RAT-SIZED SEA INSECT, BOILED ALIVE	MP
CERAMIC PLATE, HOLDING VARIOUS INGREDIENTS AND SEASONINGS	\$159

Release notes are not release announcements

Some software companies dump their commit history into a document, add some headings, and call that a release announcement.



That is not a release announcement. Those are release *notes*.

Release notes are fine and practical, but they're boring.

You can publish release notes as well, but if you want users to feel excited about your newest release, give them something more interesting to read than a sterile changelog.

What to feature in a release announcement

A release announcement is a summary of the changes that will have the greatest impact on the user's experience. It presents them in a clear, accessible way that focuses on the user.

In contrast to release notes, which aim to be exhaustive, release announcements include only the most impactful changes.

To decide which features to include in the release announcement, consider these questions:

- What can the user do in the latest version that they couldn't before?
- Which workflows became easier?
- Which workflows became faster?

Notice that the questions don't include, "Which feature took the longest to complete" or "What feature contains the cleverest algorithm?"

I've published releases where the flagship feature was a performance improvement that only

took a few hours of dev work. Implementation cost doesn't always correlate with end-user value.

Call it “faster” not “less slow”

Some bugs are so frustrating and time-consuming to fix that you forget why you're even fixing them in the first place. When it comes time to write the release announcement, you've forgotten how the bug impacted the user experience and just report that it's gone:

BAD: *Focus on what was previously broken.*

Fixed a thread deadlock that froze the UI for up to two second when creating a new file.

The user didn't know anything about a thread deadlock, but they experienced the symptoms of it. Instead of focusing on what used to be broken, celebrate how the bugfix improves the user's experience:

GOOD: *Focus on the improvement rather than the previous flaw.*

We sped up new file creation, so you can now create a new file in under 20ms, a 100x speedup from v1.2.

Briefly introduce your product

In addition to building loyalty with existing users, a release announcement should pique the interest of new, potential users.

If you publish your release announcement to the web, many of the people who read it have never heard of your product at all.

BAD: *Assume every reader is familiar with your product.*

I'm excited to announce the 1.0 release of OpenVQ9! After 17 years of hard work, this release is a triumph for all OpenVQ9 fans, as well as the loyal developers in the OpenVQ9 ecosystem (all of whom know what OpenVQ9 is, so there's no need to explain it here).

Early in your announcement, include a quick explanation of what your product does. If the reader isn't familiar with your product, what's the least they need to know to understand the rest of your announcement?

GOOD: *Succinctly explain your product to readers unfamiliar with it.*

I'm excited to announce the 1.0 release of OpenVQ9, the fully open and customizable software for robot vaccum cleaners.


Don't alienate your existing users with a 20-paragraph introduction to a product they already know. A sentence or two will provide context for new users without boring your existing users.

Make the most of your screenshots

Screenshots liven up a release announcement and make it easier for users to understand new features.


Make screenshots so obvious that the reader recognizes what you want them to see [without reading the surrounding text](#) or zooming in. Use cropping, highlighting, or arrows to direct the reader's attention.

BAD: *Make the reader guess about what in the screenshot is notable.*



The above screenshot fails to direct the reader's attention. There are so many UI elements in the screenshot, and none of them have focus.

GOOD: *Make the relevant part of the screenshot obvious.*



The second screenshot draws the reader’s focus more clearly by using a tighter crop and adding an arrow to identify the relevant part of the UI.

Keep animated demos short and sweet

Good news: modern browsers support media formats for playing high-quality screen recordings. We’re long past the days of washed-out, pixellated GIFs and clunky YouTube embeds.

- Animated images: WebP and AVIF image formats can show GIF-like animated images, and they enjoy wide [browser support](#).
- Embedded videos: H.264 and WebM-encoded videos play natively in the browser with a simple `<video>` tag. No third-party client library required.

Aim to keep demos under five seconds. 15 seconds should be the maximum. This is both for the sake of respecting the reader’s time and your server’s bandwidth. Nobody wants to sit through a two-minute video about a dialog box.

Turn your numbers into graphs

One of my favorite open-source projects recently announced a major performance improvement. Here's how they shared the news with their users:

BAD: *Report numbers to your users with a confusing dump of data.*

Here are our performance improvements:


```
Benchmark 1 (6 runs): test-old
measurement      mean ± σ          min ... max      delta
wall_time        918ms ± 32.8ms   892ms ... 984ms   0%
peak_rss         214MB ± 629KB    213MB ... 215MB   0%
cpu_cycles       4.53G ± 12.7M    4.52G ... 4.55G   0%
instructions     8.50G ± 3.27M    8.50G ... 8.51G   0%
cache_references 356M ± 1.52M     355M ... 359M     0%
cache_misses     75.6M ± 290K     75.3M ... 76.1M   0%
branch_misses    42.5M ± 49.2K    42.4M ... 42.5M   0%

Benchmark 2 (19 runs): test-new
measurement      mean ± σ          min ... max      delta
wall_time        275ms ± 4.94ms   268ms ... 283ms   ☒- 70.1% ± 1.7%
peak_rss         137MB ± 677KB    135MB ... 138MB   ☒- 36.2% ± 0.3%
cpu_cycles       1.57G ± 9.60M    1.56G ... 1.59G   ☒- 65.2% ± 0.2%
instructions     3.21G ± 126K     3.21G ... 3.21G   ☒- 62.2% ± 0.0%
cache_references 112M ± 758K      110M ... 113M     ☒- 68.7% ± 0.3%
cache_misses     10.5M ± 102K     10.4M ... 10.8M   ☒- 86.1% ± 0.2%
branch_misses    9.22M ± 52.0K    9.14M ... 9.31M   ☒- 78.3% ± 0.1%
```

If you frequently use the [hyperfine benchmarking tool](#), this output format is intelligible to you. To anyone else, it's a barf of confusing numbers. Which of these numbers is supposed to be important? Are these numbers good or bad?

Your users are not performance experts. Instead of dumping a bunch of numbers on their heads, present the information in a graph so that the message is clear and unambiguous.

GOOD: *Translate confusing numbers into a clear graph.*



Plan your release announcement during development

At my last company, I was in charge of both release planning and release announcements.

I once dedicated an entire release to overhauling our product's self-update feature. The previous logic was so messy that it took five months to replace it, twice the turnaround of our typical release.

When I finally wrote the release announcement, I realized I'd screwed up: nothing in the release benefitted our users. Sure, future releases would be faster and less error-prone, but the user's experience today was no better than it was yesterday.

I awkwardly tried to explain to our users why we made them wait five months for a release that essentially did nothing for them. I promised that they'd benefit from these changes soon, as the new update system would accelerate development (and it did), but I was embarrassed that the release primarily made life better for our developers rather than for our users.

From then on, I always considered the release announcement early in release planning. I still invested in maintenance and refactoring work, but I made sure to always have a few changes in the release that we could showcase in the release announcement.

No more “various improvements and bugfixes”

A release announcement should never include the phrase, “various improvements and bugfixes.” You might as well boast that the team proudly breathed air throughout development and used the latest version of the Internet.

If you can’t articulate how a change benefits your users, don’t highlight it in your release announcement. Save the exhaustive list of changes for your release notes, but even there, please leave out “various improvements and bugfixes.”

Real-world examples of compelling release announcements

Gleam JavaScript gets 30% faster

The release announcement for Gleam 1.11 puts the flagship feature right in the title: a 30% performance improvement. The title makes it crystal clear that the announcement focuses on what the user cares about. Rather than just throwing numbers at the reader, the author visualizes the improvements with clear graphs.

The Gleam release announcement describes every feature in terms of user impact. Rather than bore the reader with a dry list of changes, the announcement accompanies every change with code snippets showing how each change in the language and toolset has made life better for Gleam’s users.

Navigating UI3: Figma’s new UI

Figma is a design tool that earned a strong reputation for its relentless focus on user experience. This same focus is evident in their release announcements.

Figma’s UI3 release announcement focuses on empowering the user. You won’t find anything like, “this button is now here, and we added this dialog.” Instead, they tell the user “**You** can now do X to achieve Y.” That is, instead of explaining what changed, they showcase how the change benefits the user.

My only criticism is that Figma’s release announcement bizarrely uses 8 MB GIFs (yes, multiple) to show 5-second demo animations.

Summary

- Highlight new features and changes that excite the user.

- The exhaustive accounting of every change belongs in the release notes, not the release announcement.
- Describe changes in terms of what improved rather than what is no longer bad.
- Include a succinct introduction of your product in case the reader hasn't used it yet.
- Use screenshots that make the new feature obvious even if the reader doesn't zoom in or read the surrounding text.
- Include animated demos, but keep them under 15 seconds.
- Prefer graphs to raw numbers.
- Cut out vague descriptions like “various improvements and bugfixes.”

Chapter 10. Fine-Tune Your Writing (*pending*)

Coming soon.

Verbs drive the sentence

Years ago, I took an online class called “[Writing in the Sciences](#),” by Stanford Professor Kristin Sainani. She described verbs in a way that always stuck with me:

Verbs drive the sentence.

I love Sainani’s framing of verbs because it so eloquently captures the role of verbs in good writing. Verbs add motion to your writing and bring it to life. If you’re reading something dull or difficult to understand, you can probably trace it back to weak or absent verbs.

Here’s an example of a paragraph that underuses verbs:

The recent **introduction** of a caching layer to our service **had** impressive results. Our median latency **went** from 80ms to 20ms. The service also **had** 30% fewer outages, so on-call pages to our engineers only **happened** once.

Here’s a rewrite of the above paragraph that lets verbs shine:

We recently **introduced** a caching layer to our service. It **achieved** impressive results, **reducing** median latency from 80ms to 20ms and **eliminating** 30% of outages. The service only **paged** our on-call engineers once.

Verbs also eliminate ambiguity by identifying who performs what action. Consider the following sentence:

bingo is our authoritative naming server.

Not particularly clear, right? What does a naming server do? Consider this rewrite that lets verbs drive the sentence:

Clients **send** human-readable hostnames to our **bingo** server, and **bingo responds** with

the host's IPv6 network address.

Replace boring verbs

The following is a list of boring verbs:

- to be (e.g., “is”, “was”)
- get
- have
- do
- go
- make
- use

The verbs above fit into so many sentences, but they're rarely the strongest choice. Their versatility is exactly what makes them so bland and generic.

When you find a boring verb, look for a more interesting verb to replace it.

Examples

Average download time **was 50% slower** with the analytics library.

The analytics library **slowed** our average download time by 50%.

The audience **was excited** to hear Steve Jobs' iPhone announcement.

Steve Jobs' iPhone announcement **enraptured his audience**.

Your account will **get** overage charges if you **go over** your monthly quota.

Your account will **incur** overages if you **exceed** your monthly quota.

The app **had two crashes**.

The app **crashed twice**.

Thaw frozen nouns into vibrant verbs

Many verbs have a frozen, boring noun form, whereas the verb is the active, lively word.

Nouns ending in “-ation” often represent verbs struggling to free themselves from their frozen state. For example “communication” is the noun form of the verb “communicate.”

Look for opportunities to thaw frozen nouns into vibrant verbs.

Examples

Our identity server **provides authentication for new users.**

Our identity server **authenticates new users.**

Users **experienced difficulty** with our old style of **UI navigation.**

Users **struggled to navigate** our old UI.

Conduct an investigation of the logs to find the identities of the malicious users.

Investigate the logs to identify the malicious users.

Our data center **has defenses** against brute force attacks.

Our data center **defends** against brute force attacks.

The new database **led to a 50% reduction in** latency

The new database **reduced** latency by 50%.

This update **makes improvements to** the serialization library.

This update **improves** the serialization library.

The extra approvals step **makes** our process **more complicated.**

The extra approval step **complicates** our process.

All of the rewrites with the stronger verbs are shorter than the originals because strong verbs express more information than their noun-frozen counterparts.

Stay positive: how negative phrasing reduces readability

Which of the following code snippets is easier to read?

```
if (isUserInactive == false) { ... }
```

```
if (isUserActive) { ... }
```

Most programmers find the second snippet more intuitive. The fewer logical negations your brain has to do, the easier it is to read the code.

In English, negations also make text harder to read. Eliminating negations simplifies your writing.

Turn a negative into a positive

When you write a sentence that includes a negative like “not,” look for rewrites that avoid the negation:

When the request **does not succeed**, double the timeout.

When the request **fails**, double the timeout.

The data center was **not online** at the time.

The data center was **offline** at the time.

If the **debug.log** file **does not appear** in the folder, run the command again.

If the **debug.log** file **is missing** from the folder, run the command again.

If the user **is not authenticated**, the server **must not process** their requests.

The server **must only process a request** if the user **is authenticated**.

Notice how all the examples became shorter after eliminating the negative.

When to use negatives

There are times when a negative is clearer than a positive. Consider the following sentence:

This code is **not ready** to ship.

If you try hard enough, you can avoid the negative, but it makes the sentence more convoluted:

This code's quality is below the threshold at which I would feel comfortable shipping.

When you spot a negative, stop to consider a rewrite to the positive. Positive sentences are usually clearer, but it's not a hard and fast rule.

Passive voice considered harmful


Your high school English teachers probably warned you that passive voice is dangerous and forbidden. Then, when you were an adult, some guy in a leather jacket told you that passive voice is cool and should be used whenever it's desired.

Well, the tide has turned again. If you're a software developer, stop using the passive voice.


Wait, what's passive voice?

In English, sentences can have one of two structures: passive voice or active voice.


Active voice is when you construct a sentence as "actor → verb → object."



Passive voice is when you construct a sentence as "object → verb → actor."



Passive voice also allows you to construct the sentence as "object → verb" with no actor at all.



Recognizing passive voice

You can recognize passive voice from two signature features:

1. A form of the verb “to be” (e.g., is, was, will be)
2. The past participle of a verb (e.g., downloaded, tested)

Here are a few examples:

The product launch	is	canceled.
	form of “to be”	past participle
The developer responsible	was	identified.
	form of “to be”	past participle
Their employment	has been	terminated.
	form of “to be”	past participle
A replacement	will be	chosen.
	form of “to be”	past participle

What’s wrong with passive voice?

If you forgot what passive voice is, you definitely forgot why you’re not supposed to use it.

You may have a hazy recollection that passive voice is grammatically incorrect (it’s not). Maybe you recall teachers telling you that passive voice is “poor style,” but that’s vague and hand-wavey.

Regardless of what you remember, there are clear, concrete reasons to avoid the passive voice.

Passive voice omits important information

Passive voice’s greatest sin is that it omits critical information.

When I review design documents, I often find lines like this:

BAD: *Use the passive voice to omit key details.*

For security, the message is signed, and the signature is validated before further processing.

That sentence creates a lot of questions:

- Who signs the message?
- Who validates the message?
- Who performs further processing?

Here's a rewrite of that sentence using the active voice:

GOOD: *Specify which component performs which action.*

For security, the client signs the message using the client private key. The client then sends the message to the orchestration server.

When the orchestration server receives the message, it forwards the message to the authentication service. The authentication service then validates the message's signature.

- If the authentication service successfully validates the message's signature, the orchestration server sends the message to the queuing service.
- If the authentication service rejects the message, the orchestration server drops the message and responds to the client with an HTTP 400 error.
- If the authentication service fails to respond within 5 seconds, the orchestration server drops the message and responds to the client with an HTTP 500 error.

"Hang on!" You might be thinking. "That wasn't a rewrite to the active voice. That's completely different text."

But that's the point.

Passive voice draws the reader's attention away from missing details like a magician using misdirection to control the audience's focus. Converting a sentence to active voice makes the missing details obvious, revealing gaps in your design.

Passive voice increases cognitive load for the reader

Most English sentences take the form of actor → verb → object. This structure is familiar to the reader, and it allows them to parse the sentence easily.

Passive voice inverts the typical sentence structure, which adds mental burden for the reader. When they see a verb before seeing its corresponding actor, they effectively have to push it onto their memory stack and then mentally reconfigure the concept into "actor → verb → object" order.

You're allowed to challenge the reader, but save it for when you have a difficult topic to explain, not when you want to use a particular sentence structure.

When is passive voice useful?

Despite all the reasons not to use passive voice, there are a few cases where it's acceptable and, I'll admit: helpful.

As a rule of thumb, whenever you encounter passive voice in your writing, consider a rewrite. But also consider the possibility that, in certain cases, passive voice might be the appropriate choice.

Use passive voice to focus on solutions rather than assigning blame

When you discuss problems with teammates, it's important to focus on solving the problem rather than pointing fingers.

Imagine that you were performing a postmortem on a website outage, and you included this sentence:

BAD: *Use the active voice to focus blame on a teammate.*

Michael accidentally shut down the production server, which caused a three-hour outage on our website.

The phrasing leads the reader to believe that Michael is the problem. If Michael hadn't been so stupid and careless, the outage would never have happened.

Here's a rewrite in the passive voice:

GOOD: *Use the passive voice to shift focus to the problem.*

The production server **was accidentally shut down**, which caused a three-hour outage on our website.

The passive voice shifts focus away from the individual and leads the reader's attention to the systems that allowed the problem:

- Why should there be an outage if one server shuts down?
- Why is it possible to shut down a critical server by mistake?
- Why does it take three hours to get a critical server back online?

These questions are likely to yield more robust systems than simply blaming the issue on one person's carelessness.

Use passive voice to communicate mistakes tactfully

I find passive voice effective in pointing out someone's mistake in a friendly, professional way, especially if I don't know the person well.

For example, if a customer forgot to include their logs with a bug report, this would be a

particularly hostile way of letting them know:

BAD: *Use the active voice to make communication adversarial.*

It looks like **you ignored my instructions** and **failed to include the log file** in your bug report.

Instead, I would use the passive voice to avoid sounding accusatory:

GOOD: *Use the passive voice to shift focus to the problem.*

It looks like **the log file was accidentally omitted** from the bug report. Could you try reattaching your log file and emailing it to me?

Use passive voice to exclude irrelevant details

Passive voice omits details, but sometimes that's what you want. Some details are irrelevant and deserve omission.

GOOD: *Use the passive voice to exclude irrelevant details.*

I was fired from my last job for bringing my pet puma to work.

The sentence above uses passive voice to omit details, but that's okay.

Maybe the person who fired you was named Gary, and he was a junior HR associate. He dreamt of winning the national Scrabble championship, and his favorite color was purple.

The reader doesn't care about Gary.

They care about why you have a pet puma, why you thought it was a good idea to bring it to work, and what happened when you did. In that case, it's perfectly acceptable to use passive voice to edit Gary out of your story.

Minimize cognitive load for the reader *(pending)*

Coming soon.

Brevity is performance optimization for writing

If you wanted to learn a new programming language, would you rather start with a 900-page

book that obsessively details the language's every obscure feature? Or would you rather take a tutorial that teaches you the basics in two hours?

Most developers would choose the tutorial, as it teaches the relevant information quickly. This can feel counterintuitive. How can a short tutorial have more value than a 900-page book? Surely, the 900-page book required more effort and knowledge.

Value isn't about how much an author knows or how long they spent writing about it. Value is about the reader's experience:

1. Is the information useful to the reader?
2. How easy is it for the reader to understand?
3. How long must the reader spend reading to learn what they need?

One of the easiest ways to increase the value of your writing is to focus on (3).

Patrick McKenzie: Some people do not enjoy my writing style. That is fine; it's a big Internet and there are writers with many styles on it. Some people think my style is poorly considered or unnecessary. I invite them to attempt writing a few million words. It will give one a very considered view on one's own style.

Maciej Cegłowski: The challenge in writing well is not writing a million words, but choosing which 900,000 words to delete.

—Argument between two popular personalities [on Hacker News](#).

Can you live without it?

Most editing tasks are extremely difficult, but editing for brevity is refreshingly easy. You can do 80% of it by looking at a word and asking, "Would this sentence mean the same thing without that word?" For example, I edited the previous sentence by asking whether each word was critical:

You can do 80% of it by ~~just~~ looking at a word and asking ~~yourself~~, "Would this sentence ~~still~~ mean the same thing without that word?"

Apply the same technique to sentences, paragraphs, and sections. Often, a sentence or paragraph has value but not enough to justify its real estate.

When I said editing for brevity was easy, I meant that it is *cognitively* easy. Emotionally, it's difficult to delete a paragraph you spent hours crafting. I make a deal with myself in editing that if I re-read the piece a few days later and want the deleted section back, I can dig it out of my change history. I only bring it back about 5% of the time, as conciseness is more valuable than writing I don't feel confident including.

Eliminate filler words

I refer to the following words as “easy deletes,” as they rarely add enough value in any sentence to justify their existence:

- very
- really
- pretty
- much
- just
- actually
- own

For example, these two sentences differ slightly:

I discovered a **very useful** feature of Linux.

I discovered a **useful** feature of Linux.

The difference in meaning above is so small that it’s easy to delete “very” for brevity. The same is true with similarly bland modifiers like “really,” “pretty,” and “much”:

The code feels **much cleaner** now that we have consistent conventions.

The code feels **cleaner** now that we have consistent conventions.

We’ve had **really promising** results with SQLite.

We’ve had **promising** results with SQLite.

If you need to convey intensity, don’t lazily add “really” or “very” to an adjective. Commit to your idea with a more interesting word:

We’ve had **really good** results with SQLite.

We’ve had **outstanding** results with SQLite.

Most of the time, you can cut the word “actually” without losing anything. It also makes you sound less condescending:

There’s actually an interesting story behind that radio button.

There's an interesting story behind that radio button.

Actually, strings in Java are immutable.

Strings in Java are immutable.

You can usually cut “own” as well:

I don't see the bug when I try it on **my own** dev machine.

I don't see the bug when I try it on **my** dev machine.

The exception for “own” is that it's useful to emphasize a personal connection:

After 25 years, I finally wrote **my own** programming language.

The “own” above conveys your personal pride in creating the language.

Eliminate helper verbs

Helper verbs are words that change the tense or conditions of a subsequent verb. For example, in the sentence, “I will fix it,” the word “will” is a helper verb that modifies “fix.”

These are common helper verbs:

- to be (e.g., “is”, “was”)
- has / have
- can / could
- will / would
- do / did

It's usually easy to delete helper verbs without losing any meaning:

As you **can see** in the graph below...

As you **see** in the graph below...

As Joel Spolsky **would say**...

As Joel Spolsky **says**...

Multiple reviewers **have commented**...

Multiple reviewers **commented**...

Find shorter words

Every word has a cost: the reader has to spend time reading it. Longer and less familiar words take the reader longer to process than short, common words. That's why "use" is almost always a better choice than its pretentious cousin, "utilize."

Trimming suffixes from words makes your writing feel snappier. When you see one of these common suffixes, look for opportunities to trim them off:

- -ing
- -ation
- -ance
- -ship
- -ment

Fritz Holznagel [describes words](#) with these suffixes as "toilet paper words," as they are "words with nasty bits stuck to their heels." Brush off the toilet paper for a cleaner sentence:

When **you're reviewing** code for buffer overflows...

When **you review** code for buffer overflows...

The server checks the token to **grant authorization** to the request.

The server checks the token to **authorize** the request.

To **achieve compliance** with XJ11v2, we forbid lowercase letters in passwords.

To **comply** with XJ11v2, we forbid lowercase letters in passwords.

What is the **relationship** between the CPU spike and the disk error?

How does the CPU spike **relate** to the disk error?

Note that many of these examples "[thaw frozen nouns into vibrant verbs](#)."

Eliminate ambiguity and confusion (*pending*)

Coming soon.

Chapter 11. Maintain Motivation (*pending*)

Coming soon.

Manage writer's block (*pending*)

Coming soon.

Use a structured process to stay in flow state (*pending*)

Coming soon.

Editing: valuable because it's hard (*pending*)

Coming soon.

Chapter 12. Resources to Improve Your Writing *(pending)*

Coming soon.

Work with a professional editor *(pending)*

Coming soon.

See [How I Hired a Freelance Editor for My Blog](#) for some of the ideas that will inform this chapter.

Work with a professional illustrator *(pending)*

Coming soon.

See [How to Hire a Cartoonist to Make Your Blog Less Boring](#) for some of the ideas that will inform this chapter.

Improve your grammar incrementally *(pending)*

Coming soon.

Using AI tools *(pending)*

Coming soon.

Acknowledgments

Thanks so much to readers who financially supported the book early on!

- Sue Lynch
- [Marcus Crane](#)
- [Devon Bray](#)
- [Max Schmitt](#)
- [Jan Heuermann](#)
- [Codey Oxley](#)
- [Seve Ibarluzea](#)
- [Albert Chae](#)
- [Zhachory Volker](#)
- [Rick Turoczy](#)
- [Jim Madrigal](#)
- [Ben Friedl](#)
- [Martin Capodici](#)
- [Kaushik Gopal](#)
- [Tom Dekan](#)
- [Guide Fari](#)
- [Jake Wang](#)

Thanks to [Mohanvenkat Patta](#) for designing the book cover.